

Unitary Integrals and Related Matrix Models

Alexei Morozov

ITEP, Moscow, Russia

ABSTRACT

Concise review of the basic properties of unitary matrix integrals. They are studied with the help of the three matrix models: the ordinary unitary model, Brezin-Gross-Witten model and the Harish-Chandra-Itzykson-Zuber model. Especial attention is paid to the tricky sides of the story, from De Wit-t'Hooft anomaly in unitary integrals to the problem of correlators with Itzykson-Zuber measure. Of technical tools emphasized is the method of character expansions. The subject of unitary integrals remains highly under-investigated and a lot of new results are expected in this field when it attracts sufficient attention.

1 Introduction

Integrals over non-Hermitian matrices attracted far less attention during entire history of matrix model theory [1]-[27]. This is unjust, both because they are no less important in applications than Hermitian models and because they can be effectively studied by the same methods and are naturally included into the unifying M-theory of matrix models [16]. Still, in the recent reincarnation of the matrix model theory [6]-[18] non-Hermitian models are once again under-investigated, and neither their non-trivial phase structure *a la* [6, 11], nor string-field-theory-like reformulation *a la* [12], nor combinatorial solution in the Gaussian (single-cut) phase *a la* [18] are explicitly analyzed – despite all these subjects are clearly within reach of the newly-developed approaches.

The present text is also too short to address these important issues. Instead it is concentrated on the *complement* of these *universal* subjects: on methods and results *specific* to one particular – and most important of all non-Hermitian models – to that of Gaussian *unitary* ensembles. Importance of unitary integrals is obvious from the Yang-Mills-theory perspective: they describe angular – color – degrees of freedom, the complement of diagonal – colorless or hadronic – components, which are adequately captured by the eigenvalue Hermitian models [4] and by their GKM (Generalized Kontsevich Model [4, 21, 22]) "duals". As already mentioned, this does not mean that various kinds of eigenvalue techniques – from orthogonal polynomials [1] to Virasoro constraints [23] and their iterative [24, 11] or QFT-style [12] solutions – can not be applied to unitary integrals – they can and they are pretty effective, as usual [25, 26]. This means that of the main interest are *questions* of another type, concerning the correlators of *non-diagonal* matrix elements rather than those of traces or determinants.

A powerful – and partly adequate – technique for the study of *these* aspects of unitary integrals is that of character expansions, and one of the main intrigues in it is the interplay between the two relevant groups: linear (GL) and symmetric (S). This interplay is now attracting a new attention because of the close link found between Yang-Mills theory – best represented for these purposes by lattice Kazakov-Migdal model [27]-[33] – and Hurwitz theory of ramified coverings of Riemann surfaces [34]-[40] – represented by the Hurwitz-Kontsevich partition function [35]-[38] and associated peculiar matrix model [36, 37].

This paper is no more than a brief introduction into these aspects of unitary integrals. Our presentation is mostly about the three basic unitary matrix models:

- original unitary model [25], describing the correlators of traces of unitary matrices by the standard matrix-model methods, thus we touch it only briefly;
- BGW (Brezin-Gross-Witten [41]) model, describing the correlators of arbitrary matrix elements, which was made – perhaps, surprisingly at that time – a part of the GKM theory in [32, 33] and was finally incorporated into the M-theory of matrix models [16] in [42];
- IZ (Itzykson-Zuber [43, 44]) model, a simplified single-plaquette version of the Kazakov-Migdal theory [27], describing arbitrary correlators with non-trivial but exactly-solvable weight (IZ action), – an old and difficult subject [29]-[31], which attracted some new attention recently in [14].

A lot of new – and much more profound – results are expected in the study of unitary integrals in foreseeable future, which – unlike that of Hermitian integrals – is in a rather early stage of development. This makes the presentation of this paper essentially incomplete and temporal – it is no more than a preliminary introduction. Still, we tried to concentrate on facts and formulas, which have good chances to remain in the core of the subject when it becomes more complete and self-contained. Instead some currently important – but temporal – results, i.e. those which will supposedly be significantly improved and represented in more adequate terms, are discussed in less detail and even unjustly ignored because of the space limitations.

2 Unitary integrals and BGW model

2.1 The measure

Unitary integrals are those over unitary $N \times N$ matrices U , $UU^\dagger = U^\dagger U = I$ with invariant Haar measure $[dU]$. In variance with the case of Hermitian matrices $H = H^\dagger$, where $dH = \wedge_{i,j} dH_{ij}$, Haar measure $[dU]$ is non-trivial and non-linear in U . If unitary matrices are expressed through Hermitian, one can express $[dU]$ through dH , for example,

$$U = e^{iH} \implies \|\delta U\|^2 = \text{tr}(U^\dagger \delta U U^\dagger \delta U) = \text{tr}(\delta H)^2 \implies [dU] = dH,$$

$$U = \frac{I + iH}{I - iH} \implies \|\delta U\|^2 = 4\text{tr}\left(\frac{I}{I + iH} \delta H \frac{I}{I - iH} \delta H\right) \stackrel{[26]}{\implies} [dU] \sim \frac{dH}{\det^N(1 + H^2)} \quad (1)$$

and so on. Alternative representation is through generic complex matrix M [32, 33, 14]:

$$[dU] = \delta(MM^\dagger - I) d^2 M = d^2 M \int dS \exp\left(i \text{tr} S(MM^\dagger - I)\right) \quad (2)$$

where S is auxiliary Hermitian matrix, used as Lagrange multiplier to impose the unitarity constraint on M . Below we often absorb i into S , what makes it anti-Hermitian, i.e. changes the contour of S -integration. More than that, sometime one can absorb a non-trivial *matrix* factor into S , what completely breaks its hermiticity, still for the integral this is often no more than an innocent change of integration contour.

In what follows we normalize the measure $[dU]$ so that $\int [dU] = 1$, i.e. divide $[dU]$ by the volume V_N of the unitary group. This volume can be calculated, for example, by orthogonal polynomial method, see s.3.9 in the first paper of ref.[4], and it is somewhat sophisticated:

$$V_N = \frac{(2\pi)^{N(N+1)/2}}{\prod_{k=1}^N k!} \quad (3)$$

We also assume that the factor V_N^{-1} is included into the definition of Hermitian matrix integrals.

2.2 Elementary unitary correlators in fundamental representation

With this normalization agreement and the symmetry properties one immediately gets:

$$\langle U_{ij} U_{kl}^\dagger \rangle = \int U_{ij} U_{kl}^\dagger [dU] = \frac{1}{N} \delta_{il} \delta_{jk} \quad (4)$$

$$\int U_{ij} U_{i'j'} U_{kl}^\dagger U_{k'l'}^\dagger [dU] = \frac{N^2 \left(\delta_{il} \delta_{i'l'} \delta_{jk} \delta_{j'k'} + \delta_{il'} \delta_{i'l} \delta_{jk} \delta_{j'k'} \right) - N \left(\delta_{il'} \delta_{i'l} \delta_{jk} \delta_{j'k'} + \delta_{il} \delta_{i'l'} \delta_{jk} \delta_{j'k'} \right)}{N^2(N^2 - 1)} \quad (5)$$

It is clear from this example that the correlators with more entries become increasingly complicated and one needs a systematic approach to evaluate them. This approach is provided by the theory of BGW model [33].

Before proceeding to this subject in s.2.4 below, we note that these correlators are significantly simplified if we contract indices, i.e. consider the correlators of *traces* instead of particular matrix elements. Indeed, from (4) and (5) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int \text{tr} U \text{tr} U^\dagger [dU] &= 1, \\ \int \text{tr} U^2 \text{tr} (U^\dagger)^2 [dU] &= 2 - \delta_{N1}, \\ \int \text{tr} U^2 (\text{tr} U^\dagger)^2 [dU] &= \delta_{N1}, \\ \int (\text{tr} U)^2 (\text{tr} U^\dagger)^2 [dU] &= 2 - \delta_{N1} \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

and so on (the case of $N = 1$ in these formulas requires additional consideration, see below). Such *colorless* correlators are studied by the ordinary unitary-matrix model [26].

Note that unitary correlators in (5) possess a non-trivial N -dependence, with spurious poles at some integer values of N . This phenomenon is known as De Wit-t'Hooft anomaly [45]. Note also that in correlators of colorless quantities (6) these poles turn into peculiar non-singular corrections at the same values of N .

2.3 From the theory of unitary model [26]

Partition function of the unitary matrix model is the generating function of correlators of colorless trace operators:

$$Z_U(t) = \int \exp \left(\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} t_k \text{tr} U^k \right) [DU] \quad (7)$$

It was also considered in [25]. Note that $U^\dagger = U^{-1}$ and conjugate U -matrices are represented here by negative powers of U . Virasoro constraints have the form [26]

$$\left\{ \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} k t_k \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t_{k+n}} - \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{k-n}} \right) + \sum_{1 \leq k \leq n} \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t_k \partial t_{n-k}} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t_{-k} \partial t_{k-n}} \right) \right\} Z_U(t) = 0, \quad n \geq 1,$$

$$\left\{ \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} k t_k \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t_{k+n}} + \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{k-n}} \right) + \sum_{1 \leq k \leq n} \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t_k \partial t_{n-k}} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t_{-k} \partial t_{k-n}} \right) \right\} Z_U(t) = 0, \quad n \geq 0 \quad (8)$$

The use of these Virasoro relations is a little unusual: there is no shift of any time variable and formal series solution is directly in terms of t 's, not of their ratio with some background values of time-variables, which label the choice of phase, say, in Hermitian model [9]. The analogue of the L_0 constraint states that

$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} k t_k \frac{\partial Z_U}{\partial t_k} = 0 \quad (9)$$

and requests that monomial items in Z_U should have the form $\prod_k t_k^{n_k}$ with $\sum_{k \geq 1} k n_k = \sum_{k \geq 1} k n_{-k}$, i.e. allowed are $t_k t_{-k}$, $t_k t_l t_{-k-l}$ and so on, but not t_k or $t_k t_{-k-l}$ with $l \neq 0$. With this selection rule, the first few terms of Z_U series are

$$Z_U = e^{N t_0} \left(1 + a_{1|1} t_1 t_{-1} + a_{2|2} t_2 t_{-2} + a_{11|2} (t_1^2 t_{-2} + t_{-1}^2 t_2) + a_{11|11} t_1^2 t_{-1}^2 + \dots \right) \quad (10)$$

They describe the correlators (6), and are dictated by the lowest Virasoro constraints with $n = \pm 1, \pm 2$, but in somewhat non-trivial manner:

$$0 = e^{-N t_0} \left(\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} k t_k \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{k+1}} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t_0 \partial t_1} \right) Z_U =$$

$$= t_{-1} \left(-N + N \alpha_{1|1} \right) + t_1 t_{-2} \left(-2 \alpha_{1|1} + \alpha_{2|2} + 2 N \alpha_{2|11} \right) + t_1 t_{-1}^2 \left(-N \alpha_{1|1} + \alpha_{2|11} + 2 N \alpha_{11|11} \right) + \dots \quad (11)$$

Similarly,

$$0 = e^{-N t_0} \left(\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} k t_k \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{k+2}} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t_0 \partial t_2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t_1^2} \right) Z_U =$$

$$= t_{-2} \left(-2 N + N \alpha_{2|2} + 2 \alpha_{2|11} \right) + t_{-1}^2 \left(-\alpha_{1|1} + N \alpha_{2|11} + 2 \alpha_{11|11} \right) + \dots \quad (12)$$

Virasoro constraints require that each bracket at the r.h.s. vanishes, and this implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{1|1} &= 1, \\ (N^2 - 1) \alpha_{2|2} &= 2(N^2 - \alpha_{1|1}) = 2(N^2 - 1) \Rightarrow \alpha_{2|2} = 2 - s \delta_{N,1}, \\ (N^2 - 1) \alpha_{2|11} &= 0 \Rightarrow \alpha_{2|11} = s \delta_{N,1} \\ 2(N^2 - 1) \alpha_{11|11} &= (N^2 - 1) \alpha_{1|1} = N^2 - 1 \Rightarrow \alpha_{11|11} = \frac{1}{4} (2 - s \delta_{N,1}) \\ &\dots \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Thus Virasoro constraints do not define coefficients $\alpha_{2|2}$, $\alpha_{2|11}$ and $\alpha_{11|11}$ unambiguously – at $N = 1$. In fact there is a single ambiguous parameter s in these three coefficients, and it can be fixed by direct evaluation of the correlators (6) at $N = 1$. Similarly, coefficients a with higher gradation level $l = \sum_{k \geq 0} k n_k > 2$ are not fully defined by Virasoro constraints at $N = 1, \dots, l$.

2.4 From the theory of BGW model [33]

Partition function of the BGW matrix model [41] is the generating function of all unitary correlators:

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{BGW} &= \int e^{\text{tr}(J^\dagger U + J U^\dagger)} [dU] = \int \int e^{iS(MM^\dagger - I)} e^{\text{tr} J^\dagger M + J M^\dagger} d^2 M dS = \\ &\stackrel{(2)}{=} \int \frac{dS}{\det S^N} e^{\text{tr}(JJ^\dagger/S) - \text{tr} S} \stackrel{S \rightarrow 1/S}{=} \int \frac{dS}{\det S^N} e^{\text{tr}(JJ^\dagger S) - \text{tr} 1/S} \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

Obviously, Z_{BGW} depends only on the eigenvalues of the matrix $JJ^\dagger$, i.e. on the time-variables $t_k = \frac{1}{k} \text{tr}(JJ^\dagger)^k$.

The model has two essentially different phases, named Kontsevich and character phases in [33], here we consider only the latter one, when Z_{BGW} is a formal series in *positive* powers of t_k . Virasoro constraints in this phase can be derived in the usual way: as Ward identities *a la* [23], but in this particular case they can be alternatively considered as direct corollary of the identity $UU^\dagger = I$ for unitary matrices, expressed in terms of t -variables. This identity implies that

$$0 = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{J}^\dagger} \frac{\partial}{\partial J} - I \right) Z_{BGW} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (JJ^\dagger)^{n-1} \hat{L}_n Z_{BGW} - I Z_{BGW} \quad (15)$$

i.e.

$$\hat{L}_n Z_{BGW} = \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k t_k \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{k+n}} + \sum_{\substack{a+b=n \\ a,b \geq 0}} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t_a \partial t_b} \right) Z_{BGW}(t) = \delta_{n,1}, \quad n \geq 1 \quad (16)$$

are the usual *discrete-Virasoro* constraints of [19], familiar from the theory of Hermitian model, only with $n \geq 1$ instead of $n \geq -1$ and with a modified r.h.s. at $n = 1$.

Substituting a formal-series ansatz for $Z_{BGW}(t)$ into these Virasoro constraints one can iteratively reconstruct any particular coefficient, see s.3.2.1 of [33]:

$$Z_N^+(t) = 1 + \sum_{M \geq 1} \left(\sum_{k_1 \geq \dots \geq k_M \geq 0} c_N\{k_a\} \frac{k_1 t_{k_1} \dots k_M t_{k_M}}{(k_1 + \dots + k_M)!} \right) \quad (17)$$

and

$$c_N\{k_a\} = \hat{c}_N\{k_a\} \prod_{l=0}^{k_1 + \dots + k_M - 1} \frac{1}{N^2 - l^2} \quad (18)$$

$$\hat{c}_N(1) = N,$$

$$\hat{c}_N(2) = -N, \quad \hat{c}_N(1,1) = N^2,$$

$$\hat{c}_N(3) = 4N, \quad \hat{c}_N(2,1) = -3N^2, \quad \hat{c}_N(1,1,1) = N(N^2 - 2),$$

$$\hat{c}_N(4) = -30N, \quad \hat{c}_N(3,1) = 8(2N^2 - 1), \quad \hat{c}_N(2,2) = 3(N^2 + 6),$$

$$\hat{c}_N(2,1,1) = -6N(N^2 - 4), \quad \hat{c}_N(1,1,1,1) = N^4 - 8N^2 + 6,$$

...

(19)

The first two lines of this list reproduce eqs.(4) and (5).

2.5 De Wit-t'Hooft anomaly [45, 33]

Remarkable feature of (18) is the occurrence of poles at integer values of the matrix size N . It deserves noting that this is the property of the character phase: nothing like this happens in Kontsevich phase of BGW model – as usual, if properly defined, Kontsevich partition function is actually independent of N [21, 33]. As we saw in s.2.3, these poles are associated with *ambiguity* of solution to Virasoro equations in the ordinary unitary matrix model.

In fact, DWH poles do not show up in correlators: numerators vanish at the same time as denominators, and singularity can be resolved by l'Hopital rule. However, some care is needed. For example, in (5) one can consider

$$\int U_{11}^2 (U_{11}^\dagger)^2 [dU] = \frac{2(N^2 - N)}{N^2(N^2 - 1)} = \frac{2}{N(N+1)} \xrightarrow{N=1} 1$$

in accordance with (6). However, if one first take traces in (5), and then put $N = 1$, then one does not obtain (6):

$$\int \text{tr} U^2 \text{tr} (U^\dagger)^2 [dU] \stackrel{(5)}{=} \frac{2(N^2 \cdot N^2 - N \cdot N)}{N^2(N^2 - 1)} = 2 \neq 2 - \delta_{N1}$$

Anomaly of this kind is familiar from experience with dimensional regularizations, where taking traces and putting dimension equal to particular value also are non-commuting operations.

2.6 BGW model in the M-theory of matrix models [42]

As explained in [16] (see also [46] and [9] for preliminary results), partition function of every matrix model in every phase can be decomposed into elementary constituents, belonging to Kontsevich family of [21]. The most important building block is the original cubic Kontsevich tau-function τ_K , while BGW tau-function, made from Z_{BGW} in Kontsevich phase, appears to be the next-important one (for example, it arises, along with τ_K , in decomposition of the complex matrix model. Both τ_K and τ_{BGW} satisfy the same *continuous-Virasoro* constraints of [23], only with $n \geq -1$ in the case of τ_K and with $n \geq 0$ in the case of τ_{BGW} . Another, compensating, difference is that instead of the second time-variable in the case of τ_K , for τ_{BGW} *shifted* is the first time: this allows the smaller set of constraints to unambiguously defined the partition function. See [42] for many more details of this important construction.

2.7 Other correlators and representations

A slight modification of BGW model is to make the power of $\det S$ in (14) an additional free variable. In this way we get the celebrated Leutwyler-Smilga integral [47],

$$Z_{BGW}(\nu; t) = \int (\det U)^\nu e^{\text{tr}(J^\dagger U + JU^\dagger)} [dU] \quad (20)$$

which plays important role in applications and is widely discussed in the literature.

Another modification involves the change of representation: in (14) partition function is defined as generating the integrals over unitary matrices in the fundamental representation of dimension N of $GL(N)$, but one can instead consider an arbitrary representation R of dimension $D_R(N)$. All correlators will be, of course, related to those in the fundamental representation, but in a somewhat non-trivial way, and they will be different. For example, instead of (4) in generic representation we have

$$\int \mathcal{U}_{ab} \mathcal{U}_{cd}^\dagger [dU] = \frac{\delta_{ad} \delta_{bc}}{D_R} \quad (21)$$

Moreover, different representations are orthogonal in the sense that

$$\int \mathcal{U}_R \mathcal{U}_{R'}^\dagger [dU] \sim \delta_{RR'} \quad (22)$$

An important way to handle this kind of generalization is through the comprehensive theory of character expansions. The origins of this theory are in the fundamental works of G.Frobenius and it is widely represented in modern theory, from pure mathematics to applied physics. As to references, the most we can do is to cite just a few basic textbooks [48] and *just some* related papers in the matrix-model literature [2, 3]. Our actual presentation will mostly follow [40], while applications of character theory to BGW and IZ models in s.4 will be discussed along the lines of [32, 33] and [49].

3 Basics of character calculus

3.1 Three definitions of characters

Character depends on representation R and on conjugation class of a group element, which can be represented through time-variables $t_1, t_2, \dots$ or through auxiliary matrix (Miwa) variable X , accordingly we use two notations $\chi_R(t) = \chi_R[X]$.

Representations R of $GL(\infty)$ are labeled by Young diagrams, i.e. by ordered integer partitions $R: \lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq 0$, of $|R|$ – the number of boxes in the diagram, $\sum_j \lambda_j = |R|$, all λ_j are integers.

Time-variables form an infinite chain, which – if one likes – can be considered as eigenvalues of the infinite matrix from $GL(\infty)$. Miwa variables define an N -dimensional subspace in the space of time-variables, parameterized by the eigenvalues x_i of an $N \times N$ matrix X , in the following way: $t_k = \frac{1}{k} \text{tr} X^k = \frac{1}{k} \sum_i x_i^k$. Here and below tr without additional indices denotes a trace of an $N \times N$ dimensional matrix, i.e. a trace in the first fundamental representation of $GL(N)$, associated with a single-box Young diagram $R = 1 = \square$. The same matrix X can be converted into arbitrary representation R of $SL(N)$, then we denote it by $\mathcal{X}^{(R)}$. While X can be actually considered as independent of N , i.e. defined as an element of $GL(\infty)$, with N just the number of non-vanishing eigenvalues, its conversion into $\mathcal{X}^{(R)}$ actually depends on N , thus one should be a little more accurate with formulas, which involve $\mathcal{X}^{(R)}$. However, for many purposes the N -dependence enters only through dimensions D_R of representations R of $SL(N)$, see eq.(30) below. In other words, while $\mathcal{X}^{(R)}$ and D_R essentially depend on N , if formulas are written in terms of these objects, N often does not show up. Accordingly we suppress label N in $\mathcal{X}^{(R)}$ and D_R .

There are three important definitions of characters:

- the first Weyl determinant formula

$$\chi_R(t) = \det_{ij} \left(s_{\lambda_i - i + j}(t) \right) \quad (23)$$

expressing $\chi_R(t)$ through determinant of Shur polynomials, which are defined by

$$\exp \left(\sum_k t_k z^k \right) = \sum_k s_k(t) z^k \quad (24)$$

- the second Weyl determinant formula

$$\chi_R(t) = \chi_R[X] = \frac{\det_{ij} x_i^{\lambda_j + N - j}}{\Delta(X)} = \frac{\det_{ij} x_i^{\lambda_j - j}}{\det_{ij} x_i^{-j}} \quad (25)$$

where Van-der-Monde determinant $\Delta(X) = \prod_{i < j} (x_i - x_j) = \det_{ij} x_i^{N-j} = \det_{ij} x_i^{j-1}$,

- the trace formula

$$\chi_R(t) = \chi_R[X] = \text{Tr}_R \mathcal{X}^{(R)} \quad (26)$$

Actually, like a Janus, these characters have two faces. They are actually characters of two very different universal groups: $GL(\infty)$ and $S(\infty)$, where S_N is a group of permutations of N elements. Intimate relation between these two groups is already reflected in the definition of Miwa variables, expressing time-variables as symmetric functions of X -eigenvalues.

Important role in the character calculus is played by two additional functions: dimension of representations of $SL(N)$ and S_N , associated with the Young diagram R :

$$D_R = \dim_R \left(GL(N) \right) = \chi_R \left(t_k = \frac{N}{k} \right) = \chi_R[I], \quad N = |R| \quad (27)$$

and

$$d_R = \frac{1}{N!} \dim_R \left(S(N) \right) = \chi_R \left(t_k = \delta_{k1} \right), \quad N = |R| \quad (28)$$

Parameter d_R is given by the hook formula:

$$d_R = \prod_{\substack{\text{over all boxes of} \\ \text{Young diagram } R}} \frac{1}{\text{hook length}} = \det_{1 \leq i, j \leq |R|} \frac{1}{(\lambda_j + i - j)!} \quad (29)$$

and the ratio

$$\frac{D_R}{d_R} = \prod_{i=1}^N \frac{(\lambda_i + N - i)!}{(N - i)!} \quad (30)$$

Note that d_R , obtained by division of dimension by $N! = |R|!$, depends only on parameters λ_i , while D_R contains an additional explicit dependence on N .

One more important fact is that determinant of a matrix, i.e. a product of all its eigenvalues, is also equal to a character in the totally antisymmetric representation $R = \underbrace{[1, 1, \dots, 1]}_N$,

$$\det X = \chi_{11\dots 1}[X], \quad \text{rank}(X) = N \quad (31)$$

Moreover, integer powers of determinant are also characters:

$$(\det X)^\nu = \chi_{\nu\nu\dots\nu}[X], \quad \text{rank}(X) = N \quad (32)$$

Note that when $\text{rank}(X) = N$ the time-variables t_k with $k > N$ are algebraic functions of those with $k \leq N$, and, when restricted to this subspace, the characters with $|R| > N$ also become algebraic functions of characters with $|R| \leq N$.

3.2 Sum rules and orthogonality relations

Characters satisfy a number of "sum rules": certain sums over *all* representations (i.e. over all Young diagrams or over all ordered partitions) are equal to some distinguished quantity. So far the most important in applications were the following three sum rules:

$$\sum_R d_R \chi_R(t) = e^{t_1} = e^{\text{tr } X} \quad (33)$$

$$\sum_R \chi_R(t) \chi_R(t') = \exp \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k t_k t'_k \right) = \frac{1}{\text{Det}(I \otimes I - X \otimes X')} \quad (34)$$

$$\sum_R \frac{d_R}{D_R} \chi_R[X] \chi_R[X'] = \int [dU] \exp(\text{tr } XU X' U^\dagger) \quad (35)$$

Eq.(33) is a particular case of (34), because $d_R = \chi_R(\delta_{k1})$. Eq.(35) is actually a character expansion of Itzykson-Zuber integral, but it can be effectively used as a sum rule as well.

A very important role in the formalism of character theory is played by orthogonality relation:

$$\chi_R(\tilde{\partial}) \chi_{R'}(t) = \delta_{R,R'} \quad \text{for } |R| = |R'| \quad (36)$$

Here one substitutes time-derivatives $\tilde{\partial}_k \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial p_k} = \frac{1}{k} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_k}$ instead of t_k in the arguments of $\chi_R(t)$ – a polynomial of its variables. For example, see s.3.3,

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_1(t) = t_1, & \implies \chi_1(\tilde{\partial}) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t_1}, \\ \chi_2(t) = t_2 + \frac{t_1^2}{2}, & \implies \chi_2(\tilde{\partial}) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t_2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t_1^2} \right), \\ \chi_{11}(t) = -t_2 + \frac{t_1^2}{2}, & \implies \chi_{11}(\tilde{\partial}) = \frac{1}{2} \left(-\frac{\partial}{\partial t_2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t_1^2} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

and one can easily check that (36) works in these simple cases of $|R| = 1, 2$. It is also clear that orthogonality relation fails for $|R| \neq |R'|$.

3.3 Examples of characters

For illustrative purposes we list here the first few characters and some other relevant characteristics of the lowest representations R of GL and S groups.

R	χ_R	$d_R = \chi_R(\delta_{k,1})$	$\dim_R(S_{ R }) = R ! d_R$	$\dim_R(SL(n)) = \chi_R\left(\frac{n}{k}\right)$	$\varphi_R(2) = \frac{\text{coeff } t_2 t_1^{ R -2}}{2d_R}$	$\varphi_R(3) = \frac{\text{coeff } t_3 t_1^{ R -3}}{3d_R}$	$\varphi_R(22) = \frac{\text{coeff } t_2^2 t_1^{ R -4}}{2^2 d_R}$
(0)	1	1	1	1	0	0	0
(1)	t_1	1	1	n	0	0	0
(2)	$t_2 + \frac{t_1^2}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	1	$\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$	1	0	0
(1, 1)	$-t_2 + \frac{t_1^2}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	1	$\frac{n(n-1)}{2}$	-1	0	0
(3)	$t_3 + t_2 t_1 + \frac{t_1^3}{6}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	1	$\frac{n(n+1)(n+2)}{6}$	3	2	0
(2, 1)	$-t_3 + \frac{t_1^3}{3}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	2	$\frac{n(n^2-1)}{3}$	0	-1	0
(1, 1, 1)	$t_3 - t_2 t_1 + \frac{t_1^3}{6}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	1	$\frac{n(n-1)(n-2)}{6}$	-3	2	0
(4)	$t_4 + t_3 t_1 + \frac{t_2^2}{2} + \frac{t_2 t_1^2}{2} + \frac{t_1^4}{24}$	$\frac{1}{24}$	1	$\frac{n(n+1)(n+2)(n+3)}{24}$	6	8	3
(3, 1)	$-t_4 - \frac{t_2^2}{2} + \frac{t_2 t_1^2}{2} + \frac{t_1^4}{8}$	$\frac{1}{8}$	3	$\frac{(n-1)n(n+1)(n+2)}{8}$	2	0	-1
(2, 2)	$t_2^2 - t_3 t_1 + \frac{t_1^4}{12}$	$\frac{1}{12}$	2	$\frac{n^2(n^2-1)}{12}$	0	-4	3
(2, 1, 1)	$t_4 - \frac{t_2^2}{2} - \frac{t_2 t_1^2}{2} + \frac{t_1^4}{8}$	$\frac{1}{8}$	3	$\frac{(n+1)n(n-1)(n-2)}{8}$	-2	0	-1
(1, 1, 1, 1)	$-t_4 + t_3 t_1 + \frac{t_2^2}{2} - \frac{t_2 t_1^2}{2} + \frac{t_1^4}{24}$	$\frac{1}{24}$	1	$\frac{n(n-1)(n-2)(n-3)}{24}$	-6	8	3
...							
(k)		$\frac{1}{k!}$	1	$\frac{(n+k-1)!}{(n-1)!k!}$	$\frac{k(k-1)}{2}$	$\frac{k(k-1)(k-2)}{3}$	$\frac{k(k-1)(k-2)(k-3)}{8}$
...			$\sum_R \dim_R^2 = R !$				

3.4 Example: eq.(26) for $GL(2)$

Construction of $\mathcal{X}^{(R)}$ from a given X is a little tricky. Actually, in explicit form eq.(26) is a relation for unitary matrices:

$$\chi_R [e^A] = \text{Tr}_R e^{\mathcal{A}^{(R)}} \quad (38)$$

and relation is simple between A and $\mathcal{A}^{(R)}$: the $n \times n$ and $D_R \times D_R$ matrices, both expressed through the same set of parameters u_a :

$$A = i \sum_{a=0}^{n^2-1} u_a T_a^{(1)} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{A}^{(R)} = i \sum_{a=0}^{n^2-1} u_a T_a^{(R)}$$

Here $T_a^{(R)}$ are generators of the $GL(n)$ algebra in representation R , and $R = 1 = \square$ is the first fundamental representation.

For example, in the case of $GL(2)$

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a+d & b \\ c & a-d \end{pmatrix}$$

and we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_1 [e^A] &= t_1 = \text{Tr}_{D_1=2} e^A = \text{Tr}_2 \left\{ \exp \begin{pmatrix} a+d & b \\ c & a-d \end{pmatrix} \right\} \\ \chi_2 [e^A] &= t_2 + \frac{t_1^2}{2} = \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}_2 e^{2A} + \frac{1}{2} (\text{Tr}_2 e^A)^2 = \text{Tr}_{D_2=3} \left\{ \exp \begin{pmatrix} 2a+2d & b & 0 \\ 2c & 2a & 2b \\ 0 & c & 2a-2d \end{pmatrix} \right\} \\ \chi_{11} [e^A] &= -t_2 + \frac{t_1^2}{2} = -\frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}_2 e^{2A} + \frac{1}{2} (\text{Tr}_2 e^A)^2 = e^{2a}, \quad D_{11} = 1 \\ \chi_3 [e^A] &= t_3 + t_2 t_1 + \frac{t_1^3}{6} = \frac{1}{3} \text{Tr}_2 e^{3A} + \frac{1}{2} (\text{Tr}_2 e^{2A}) (\text{Tr}_2 e^A) + \frac{1}{6} (\text{Tr}_2 e^A)^3 = \\ &= \text{Tr}_{D_3=4} \left\{ \exp \begin{pmatrix} 3a+3d & b & 0 & 0 \\ 3c & 3a+d & 2b & 0 \\ 0 & 2c & 3a-d & 3b \\ 0 & 0 & c & 3a-3d \end{pmatrix} \right\} \\ \chi_{21} [e^A] &= -t_3 + \frac{t_1^3}{3} = -\frac{1}{3} \text{Tr}_2 e^{3A} + \frac{1}{3} (\text{Tr}_2 e^A)^3 = \text{Tr}_{D_{21}=2} \left\{ \exp \begin{pmatrix} 3a+d & b \\ c & 3a-d \end{pmatrix} \right\} \\ \chi_{111} [e^A] &= t_3 - t_2 t_1 + \frac{t_1^3}{6} = \frac{1}{3} \text{Tr}_2 e^{3A} - \frac{1}{2} (\text{Tr}_2 e^{2A}) (\text{Tr}_2 e^A) + \frac{1}{6} (\text{Tr}_2 e^A)^3 = 0, \quad D_{111} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

...

Note that:

- matrix A does not actually need to be anti-Hermitian, above relations are actually true for arbitrary A ;
- normalization of the central $U(1)$ generator includes a representation-dependent factor $|R|$;
- in general, for representation of the size $r \times r$ of $GL(2)$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned} T_+ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & & 0 & 0 \\ & & \cdots & & & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & r-2 & 0 & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & r-1 & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \end{pmatrix} & T_- &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ r-1 & 0 & & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & r-2 & & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & & \cdots & & & \\ 0 & 0 & & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ T_3 &= \begin{pmatrix} r-1 & 0 & & 0 & 0 & \\ 0 & r-3 & & 0 & 0 & \\ & & \cdots & & & \\ 0 & 0 & & 3-r & 0 & \\ 0 & 0 & & 0 & 1-r & \end{pmatrix} & T_0 &= |R| \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & & 0 & 0 & \\ 0 & 1 & & 0 & 0 & \\ & & \cdots & & & \\ 0 & 0 & & 1 & 0 & \\ 0 & 0 & & 0 & 1 & \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

with commutation relations $[T_+, T_-] = T_3$, $[T_3, T_{\pm}] = \pm 2T_{\pm}$.

3.5 Characters and symmetric functions [32, 49]

Many application of characters are based on the fact that they are symmetric functions (of eigenvalues x_i of a matrix X), and simultaneously are eigenfunctions and eigenvalues of certain distinguished operators.

In the space of symmetric functions there are three "obvious" sets of homogeneous generators:

$$\text{time - variables} \quad p_k[X] = \sum_i x_i^k = \text{tr } X^k, \quad k \geq 0, \quad p_k[X] = kt_k[X], \quad (40)$$

$$\text{Shur polynomials} \quad s_k[X] = \sum_{i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_k} x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_k}, \quad k \geq 1, \quad s_0[X] = 1 \quad (41)$$

and

$$\text{elementary symmetric polynomials} \quad \sigma_k[X] = \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_k} x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_k}, \quad k \geq 1, \quad \sigma_0[X] = 1. \quad (42)$$

The corresponding three generating functions are

$$P(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_k[X] z^k = \text{tr } \frac{I}{I - zX} \quad (43)$$

$$S(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} s_k[X] z^k = \prod_i (1 - zx_i)^{-1} = \frac{1}{\det(I - zX)} \quad (44)$$

and

$$\Sigma(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sigma_k[X] z^k = \prod_i (1 + zx_i) = \det(I + zX) \quad (45)$$

These three generating functions are related as follows:

$$S(z) = \exp \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} t_k[X] z^k \right) = \frac{1}{\det(I - zX)} \quad (46)$$

where $p_k[X] = kt_k[X]$, so that

$$P(z) = \text{tr } I + z \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \log E(z) \quad (47)$$

and

$$\Sigma(z) = 1/S(-z) \quad (48)$$

The sets $\{t_k\}$, $\{s_k\}$ and $\{\sigma_k\}$ are generators in the space of symmetric functions: any such function is a *poly*-linear combination of generators. *Linear* bases are formed by products of these generators and are labeled by Young diagrams R . An obvious choice of basis is

$$t^R \equiv \prod_j t_{\lambda_j} \equiv \prod_j t_j^{m_j} \quad \text{or} \quad p^R \equiv \prod_j p_{\lambda_j} \equiv \prod_j p_j^{m_j} = \left(\prod_j j^{m_j} \right) t^R = \text{const} \cdot t^R, \quad t_{\emptyset} = p_{\emptyset} = 1 \quad (49)$$

In this way one can define the "R-power" of any semi-infinite sequence $\{p_i\}$, not obligatory from the time-variables. Sometime we need the same basis with a different normalization:

$$\widetilde{p}^R \equiv \frac{p^R}{z_R} \quad \text{with} \quad z_R \equiv \prod_j m_j! j^{m_j} \quad (50)$$

Note that widetilde here is *not* exactly the same as tilde in orthogonality relation (36).

A less trivial linear basis is provided by characters, made from the generators $\{s_k\}$ with the help of (23),

$$\chi_R = \det_{ij} (s_{\lambda_j - j + i}) \quad (51)$$

Motivation for this choice comes from the following expansion rule [49]:

$$\prod_i \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A_k x_i^k \right) = \sum_R \chi_R[X] \cdot \det_{ij} (A_{\lambda_j - j + i}) \quad (52)$$

which is related through the second Weyl formula (25) to the basic determinant expansion [32, 49]

$$\begin{aligned} \det_{ij} \left(\sum_k A_{ik} B_{jk} \right) &= \sum_{P \in S_N} (-)^P \prod_{i=1}^N \sum_k A_{ik} B_{P(i)k} = \sum_{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_N} \sum_P (-)^P \prod_{i=1}^N A_{ik_i} B_{P(i)k_i} = \\ &= \sum_{k_1 > k_2 > \dots} \left(\sum_{P, P'} (-)^P (-)^{P'} \prod_{i=1}^N A_{P'(i)k_i} B_{P(i)k_i} \right) = \sum_{k_1 > k_2 > \dots} \det_{ij} A_{ik_j} \det_{ij} B_{ik_j} \end{aligned} \quad (53)$$

which generalizes $\det(AB) = \det A \cdot \det B$ from square to rectangular matrices. Indeed, with $k_j = \lambda_j - j$ (note that from $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots$ it follows that $k_1 = \lambda_1 - 1 > k_2 = \lambda_2 - 2 > \dots$) and with (25) substituted for $\chi_R[X]$, the r.h.s. of (52) has exactly the same form as the r.h.s. of (53). Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_R \chi_R[X] \cdot \det_{ij} (A_{\lambda_j - j + i}) &\stackrel{(25)}{=} \sum_{\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots} \frac{\det_{ij} (x_i^{\lambda_j - j}) \det_{ij} (A_{\lambda_j - j + i})}{\det_{ij} x_j^{-i}} = \\ &\stackrel{(53)}{=} \frac{\det_{ij} (\sum_k A_{k+i} x_j^k)}{\det_{ij} x_j^{-i}} = \frac{\det_{ij} (A(x_j) x_j^{-i})}{\det_{ij} x_j^{-i}} = \prod_j A(x_j) \end{aligned} \quad (54)$$

with $A(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A_k x^k$ and we deduce (52) from (53). Note that summation limits are automatically adjusted in appropriate way.

3.6 Characters as eigenfunctions and eigenvalues [36, 38]

Characters $\chi_R[X]$ are the common eigenfunctions of the infinite set of commuting operators $\hat{W}(R)$ [38]. These operators are in one-to-one correspondence with the Young diagrams (ordered integer partitions) and are made from the GL generator $\hat{D}_{ij} = X_{ik} \frac{\partial}{\partial X_{jk}}$ in two steps: first define a semi-infinite sequence of *commuting* operators $\hat{D}_k = : \text{tr } \hat{D}^k :$ and then use the general rule (50) to introduce

$$\hat{W}(R) = : \widetilde{D^R} : = : \prod_k \frac{1}{m_k! k^{m_k}} (\text{tr } \hat{D}^k)^{m_k} : \quad (55)$$

Additional ingredient is the normal ordering, which implies that all X stand to the left of all $\partial/\partial X$. According to [38] these operators form the center of universal enveloping algebra,

$$[\hat{W}(R), \hat{W}(R')] = 0 \quad \forall R, R' \quad (56)$$

and characters are their eigenfunctions

$$\hat{W}(R) \chi_{R'}[X] = \varphi_{R'}(R) \chi_{R'}[X] \quad \forall R, R' \quad (57)$$

The corresponding eigenvalues $\varphi_R(\Delta)$ are in fact characters of symmetric group S , and they can be defined as the coefficients of χ_R expansion in p -variables,

$$\chi_R(p) = \sum_{|\Delta|=|R|} d_R \varphi_R(\Delta) p^\Delta \quad (58)$$

The sum here is over Young diagrams Δ of the same size (number of boxes) as R . For $|\Delta| > |R|$ these eigenvalues vanish, while for $|\Delta| < |R|$ they are defined as

$$\varphi_R(\Delta, \underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_k) = \frac{(|R| - |\Delta|)!}{(|R| - |\Delta| - k)! k!} \varphi_R(\Delta, \underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{|R| - |\Delta|}) \quad \text{if } 1 \notin \Delta \quad (59)$$

i.e. if Δ does not contain 1 entries at all. In particular, for such Δ we have $\varphi_R(\Delta) = \varphi_R(\Delta, \underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{|R|-|\Delta|})$. In other words, if Δ contains exactly k unit entries, then

$$\varphi_R(\Delta) = \frac{(|R| - |\Delta| + k)!}{(|R| - |\Delta|)! k!} \varphi_R(\Delta, \underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{|R|-|\Delta|}) \quad \text{if } 1^k \in \Delta \quad (60)$$

Important in applications is also inverse of (58):

$$\widetilde{p}^\Delta = \sum_{|R|=|\Delta|} d_R \chi_R(p) \varphi_R(\Delta) \quad (61)$$

Note that $\widetilde{p}^\Delta$ appears at the l.h.s. instead of p^Δ at the r.h.s. of (58). Note also that the both formulas are written in terms of p rather than t -variables, $p_k = kt_k$.

Operators $\hat{W}(R)$ and thus their eigenvalues form an interesting commutative associative algebra [38, 40]

$$\hat{W}(R_1) \hat{W}(R_2) = \sum_{R_3} C_{R_1 R_2}^{R_3} \hat{W}(R_3) \implies \varphi_R(R_1) \varphi_R(R_2) = \sum_{R_3} C_{R_1 R_2}^{R_3} \varphi_R(R_3) \quad \forall R \quad (62)$$

4 The theory of IZ integral

The very important application of character theory is the theory of Harish-Chandra-Itzykson-Zuber integral [43, 44]. As mentioned in the Introduction, it is the simplified version – or the main basic block – of the Kazakov-Migdal model [27]-[33] of lattice gluodynamics and, actually, of many other matrix-model-based approaches to non-perturbative dynamics of gauge theories with propagating (particle-like) degrees of freedom. It is also a non-trivial example of group integral, which satisfies the requirements of Duistermaat-Heckman theorem [50] and can be treated exactly by the methods, now known as *localization technique* (with Nekrasov's instanton calculus [55] in Seiberg-Witten theory [56] as one of its remarkable achievements).

HCIZ integrals can be handled by a variety of methods, starting from the Ward identities of [44]. We present here the character-based approach [32, 49] and a closely related GKM-based technique of [29]-[33], recently-revived in [14] and applied to the old (and still unsolved) problem of arbitrary correlators with the HCIZ weight [30, 31].

4.1 Itzykson-Zuber integral and duality formula

IZ integral is a unitary integral with non-trivial weight of the special form:

$$J_{IZ}(X, Y) = \int e^{\text{tr} X U Y U^\dagger} [dU] \quad (63)$$

It depends on the eigenvalues of two $N \times N$ matrices X and Y through the celebrated determinant formula [44]

$$J_{IZ} \sim \frac{\det_{ij} e^{x_i y_j}}{\Delta(X) \Delta(Y)} = \frac{1}{\Delta(X) \Delta(Y)} \sum_{P \in S_N} (-)^P \exp(x_i y_{P(i)}), \quad (64)$$

where $\Delta(X) = \prod_{i < j} (x_i - x_j) = \det_{ij} x_i^{j-1}$ and $\Delta(Y)$ are the two Van-der-Monde determinants.

The standard way to derive (64) is to observe that J_{IZ} depends only on the eigenvalues of X and Y and substitute a function of eigenvalues into the obvious Ward identities:

$$\left\{ \text{tr} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial X^{tr}} \right)^k - \text{tr} Y^k \right\} J_{IZ}(X, Y) = 0, \quad \left\{ \text{tr} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial Y^{tr}} \right)^k - \text{tr} X^k \right\} J_{IZ}(X, Y) = 0, \quad k \geq 0 \quad (65)$$

what reduces the problem to Calogero-Dunkl-like equations, since, for example,

$$\text{tr} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial X^{tr}} \right)^2 = \sum_i \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2} + \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{1}{x_i - x_j} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \right) \quad (66)$$

when it acts on a function of eigenvalues. One can then check that (64) is indeed a solution. This method is rather tedious and the answer is in no way obvious, at least for people unfamiliar with Calogero-Dunkl equations

and associated theory of "zonal spherical functions" [57]. Instead it has non-trivial generalizations, a recent one [58] is to the theory of *integral discriminants*: the homogeneous non-Gaussian integrals – an important branch of *non-linear algebra* [59].

Direct way from (63) to the answer (64) is provided by Duistermaat-Heckman approach [50], which claims that an integral invariant under an action of a compact group and with appropriate relation between the classical action and quantum measure – conditions, which are trivially satisfied by the group-theoretical integral (63), – is exactly given by its *full* quasiclassical approximation: a sum over *all* extrema of the classical action:

$$\int d\phi e^{-S(\phi)} \sim \sum_{\phi_0: \delta S(\phi_0)=0} \left(\det \frac{\partial^2 S(\phi_0)}{\partial \phi^2} \right)^{-1/2} e^{-S(\phi_0)} \quad (67)$$

As explained in s.3.2 of [5], see also [14], the equations of motion for the action $\text{tr } XUYU^\dagger$,

$$[X, UYU^\dagger] = 0, \quad (68)$$

after a unitary transformation which makes X and Y diagonal have any permutation matrix, $U = P$, as their solution (more solutions arise when some eigenvalues of X or Y coincide, but this does not affect the answer). Then Duistermaat-Heckman theorem (67) implies that

$$J_{IZ}(X, Y) \sim \sum_P \frac{(-)^P}{\Delta(X)\Delta(Y)} \exp \left(\sum_i x_i y_{P(i)} \right) \quad (69)$$

where Van-der-Monde determinants arise from the pre-exponential factor and the sign factor $(-)^P$ naturally arises from the square root and distinguishes the contributions of minima and maxima to the quasiclassical sum. Duistermaat-Heckman method, known also as *localization* technique, got a variety of applications since it was first discovered in the study of HCIZ integrals. A new promising application should be to the *3-algebras* and associated BLG theory [60], where this is still the only available direct approach to *defining* a substitute of matrix models – since no direct counterpart of *matrices* is yet known for 3-algebras.

Of certain importance is also the following representation of (63) as a Hermitian-matrix integral [29, 33, 14]. Immediately from the definition (63) we get:

$$J_{IZ} \stackrel{(63)}{=} \int e^{\text{tr } XUYU^\dagger} [dU] \stackrel{(2)}{=} \int d^2 M dS e^{\text{tr } S(I-MM^\dagger) + \text{tr } XMYM^\dagger} = \int \frac{e^{\text{tr } S} dS}{\det_{N^2 \times N^2} (S \otimes I - X \otimes Y)} \quad (70)$$

One can easily convert to the basis where, say, Y is diagonal, $Y = \text{diag}\{y_k\}$. Then

$$J_{IZ}(X, Y) \sim \int \frac{e^{\text{tr } S} dS}{\prod_k \det(S - y_k X)} \stackrel{[14]}{\rightarrow} \int \frac{e^{\text{tr } SX} dS}{\prod_k \det(S - y_k I)} \sim \int J_{IZ}(X, S) \frac{\prod_{i \neq j} (s_i - s_j) \prod_i ds_i}{\prod_{i,k} (s_i - y_k)} \quad (71)$$

where determinants and traces are now over $N \times N$ matrices and arrow transition results from the change of variables $S \rightarrow SX$ (which implies a change of integration contour over S). The last step is transition to eigenvalues of S , with angular integration given again by the same Itzykson-Zuber integral. According to [14] this duality relation provides an effective recursion in the size N of the unitary matrix.

4.2 Character expansion of the HCIZ integral

From character calculus we can immediately derive an alternative formula, called character expansion: making use of (33) one can express $e^{\text{tr } \Psi}$ with $\Psi \equiv XUYU^\dagger$ as a linear combination of characters, $e^{\text{tr } \Psi} \stackrel{(33)}{=} \sum_R d_R \chi_R(\Psi)$ and after that substitute the average of character over the unitary group:

$$\int \chi_R[\Psi] [dU] \stackrel{(26)}{=} \int \text{Tr}_R \Psi_R [dU] \stackrel{(26)}{=} \int \text{Tr}_R (\mathcal{X}_R \mathcal{U} \mathcal{Y}_R \mathcal{U}^\dagger) [dU] \stackrel{(21)}{=} \frac{1}{D_R} \chi_R[X] \chi_R[Y] \quad (72)$$

Thus

$$J_{IZ} = \int e^{\text{tr } \Psi} [dU] \stackrel{(33)}{=} \sum_R d_R \int \chi_R[\Psi] [dU] \stackrel{(72)}{=} \sum_R \frac{d_R \chi_R[X] \chi_R[Y]}{D_R} \quad (73)$$

This elementary but powerful formula can be found, for example, in [49] and – with erroneously omitted d_R -factor – in [3]. For its recent application to exact evaluation of correlators in Gaussian Hermitian model see the last

papers in ref.[17]. Similarly one obtains a character expansion in a more sophisticated case, with two terms in the exponent, known as (generalized) Berezin-Karpelevich integral [51, 49]:

$$J_{BK} = \int [dU] \int [dV] \exp(XUYV^\dagger + VYU^\dagger X) = \sum_{R,R'} d_R d_{R'} \int \int \chi_R(XUYV^\dagger) \chi_{R'}(VYU^\dagger X) [dU][dV] =$$

$$\stackrel{(22)}{=} \sum_R d_R^2 \int \int \text{Tr}_R(\mathcal{X}_R \mathcal{U} \mathcal{Y}_R \mathcal{V}^\dagger) \text{Tr}_R(\mathcal{X}_R \mathcal{V} \mathcal{Y}_R \mathcal{U}^\dagger) [dU][dV] \stackrel{(21)}{=} \sum_R \frac{d_R^2}{D_R^2} \chi_R[X^2] \chi_R[Y^2] \quad (74)$$

because, as a consequence of (22) we have, in addition to

$$\int \chi_R[U] \chi_{R'}[U] [dU] = \delta_{R,R'} \quad (75)$$

For applications to two-matrix models see, for example, [3].

Representation (64) follows from (73) if one expresses characters at the r.h.s. through the eigenvalues with the help of the second Weyl formula (25):

$$J_{IZ} \stackrel{(73)}{=} \sum_R \frac{d_R \chi_R[X] \chi_R[Y]}{D_R} \stackrel{(25)}{=} \frac{1}{\Delta(X) \Delta(Y)} \sum_R \frac{d_R}{D_R} \det_{ij} x_i^{N-j+\lambda_j} \det_{ij} y_i^{N-j+\lambda_j} =$$

$$\stackrel{(30)}{=} \frac{1}{\Delta(X) \Delta(Y)} \sum_{\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq 0} \prod_{i=1}^N \frac{(N-i)!}{(\lambda_i + N-i)!} \det_{ij} x_i^{N-j+\lambda_j} \det_{ij} y_i^{N-j+\lambda_j} =$$

$$\stackrel{\lambda_i + N - i = k_i}{=} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^N (N-i)!}{\Delta(X) \Delta(Y)} \sum_{k_1 > k_2 > \dots} \frac{\det_{ij} x_i^{k_j} \det_{ij} y_i^{k_j}}{\prod_j k_j!} = \left(\prod_{j=0}^{N-1} j! \right) \frac{\det_{ij} e^{x_i y_j}}{\Delta(X) \Delta(Y)} \quad (76)$$

since, as a particular case of (53),

$$\sum_{k_1 > k_2 > \dots} \left(\prod_{j=1}^N a_j \right) \det_{ij} x_i^{k_j} \det_{ij} y_i^{k_j} = \frac{1}{N!} \sum_{P,P'} \sum_{k_j} \left(\phi_{P(j)} \psi_{P'(j)} \right)^{k_j} = \det_{ij} A(\phi_i \psi_j) \quad (77)$$

for $A(x) = \sum_k a_k x^k$, see [49].

Similarly from (74) we obtain another celebrated formula [51, 49]:

$$J_{BK} \stackrel{(74)}{=} \sum_R \frac{d_R^2 \chi_R[X^2] \chi_R[Y^2]}{D_R^2} = \frac{\left(\prod_{j=0}^{N-1} j! \right)^2}{\Delta(X^2) \Delta(Y^2)} \sum_{k_1 > k_2 > \dots} \frac{\det_{ij} x_i^{2k_j} \det_{ij} y_i^{2k_j}}{\left(\prod_j k_j! \right)^2} \stackrel{(77)}{=} \left(\prod_{j=0}^{N-1} j! \right)^2 \frac{\det_{ij} \mathcal{I}_0(2x_i y_j)}{\Delta(X^2) \Delta(Y^2)} \quad (78)$$

where Bessel function $\mathcal{I}_0(2x) = \sum_k \frac{x^{2k}}{(k!)^2}$. This is a kind of a transcendental generalization of the IZ integral.

4.3 Reductions to BGW model and Leutwyler-Smilga integral

Similar character expansions exist, of course, in the simpler case of BGW model. However, since integral (14) contains two items in the exponent, it is in fact closer to the transcendental Berezin-Karpelevich integral, than to the IZ integral. Instead of (74) we get for (14):

$$Z_{BGW} = \int e^{\text{tr}(J^\dagger U + JU^\dagger)} [dU] = \sum_{R,R'} d_R d_{R'} \int \chi_R[J^\dagger U] \chi_{R'}[JU^\dagger] [dU] \stackrel{(22)}{=} \sum_R \frac{d_R^2}{D_R} \chi_R[J J^\dagger] \quad (79)$$

To make the next step [49] we now need not only (30) but also the hook formula (29):

$$Z_{BGW} = \sum_R \frac{d_R^2}{D_R} \chi_R[J J^\dagger] = \frac{\prod_{j=0}^{N-1} j!}{\Delta[J J^\dagger]} \sum_{k_1 > k_2 > \dots} \frac{\det_{ij} J_i^{2k_j}}{\left(\prod_j k_j! \right)} \det_{ij} \frac{1}{(k_j - N + i)!} =$$

$$\stackrel{(53)}{=} \left(\prod_{j=0}^{N-1} j! \right) \frac{\det_{ij} \left(J_j^{N-i} \mathcal{I}_{i-N}(2J_j) \right)}{\Delta(J J^\dagger)} = \left(\prod_{j=0}^{N-1} j! \right) \frac{\det_{ij} \left(J_i^{j-1} \mathcal{I}_{j-1}(2J_i) \right)}{\Delta(J J^\dagger)} \quad (80)$$

where J_i^2 are eigenvalues of Hermitian matrix $JJ^\dagger$ and the Bessel function

$$\mathcal{I}_s(2x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{2k+s}}{k!(k+s)!} = \mathcal{I}_{-s}(2x) \quad (81)$$

Leutwyler-Smilga integral (20) can be handled in the same way, but one should make use of appropriate generalization of (33) and (29):

$$(\det U)^\nu e^{\text{tr} U} = \sum_{\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq 0} \chi_{\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_N}[U] \det_{ij} \frac{1}{(\lambda_j - \nu + i - j)!} \quad (82)$$

From this formula we get [47, 49]

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{BGW}(\nu; t) &= \int (\det U)^\nu e^{\text{tr}(J^\dagger U + JU^\dagger)} [dU] = \frac{\prod_{j=0}^{N-1} j!}{\Delta[JJ^\dagger]} \sum_{k_1 > k_2 > \dots} \frac{\det_{ij} J_i^{2k_j}}{(\prod_j k_j!)} \det_{ij} \frac{1}{(k_j - N - \nu + i)!} = \\ &= \left(\prod_{j=0}^{N-1} j! \right) \frac{\det_{ij} \left(J_i^{j-1} \mathcal{I}_{\nu+j-1}(2J_i) \right)}{\Delta(JJ^\dagger)} \end{aligned} \quad (83)$$

4.4 Correlators of Ψ -variable in IZ integral [40]

Character expansion allows one to evaluate arbitrary correlators of traces of $\Psi = XUYU^\dagger$ and its powers in IZ integral.

For $\Delta = \{\delta_1 \geq \delta_2 \geq \dots\} = \left\{ \underbrace{m, \dots, m}_{\alpha_m}, \dots, \underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{\alpha_1} \right\}$ of the size $|\Delta| = \sum_k \delta_k = \sum_m m \alpha_m$ we have from (61):

$$\Psi^\Delta \equiv (\text{tr } \Psi)^{\alpha_1} (\text{tr } \Psi^2)^{\alpha_2} \dots (\text{tr } \Psi^m)^{\alpha_m} \stackrel{(61)}{=} z_\Delta \sum_{|R|=|\Delta|} d_R \chi_R(\Psi) \varphi_R(\Delta) \quad (84)$$

Instead of (73) we can now write

$$\begin{aligned} \int \Psi^\Delta e^{\text{tr} \Psi} [dU] &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \Psi^{\Delta+n \cdot 1} [dU] \stackrel{(84)}{=} \sum_n \frac{z_{\Delta+n \cdot 1}}{n!} \sum_{|R|=|\Delta|+n} d_R \varphi_R(\Delta + n \cdot 1) \int \chi_R(\Psi) [dU] = \\ &\stackrel{(72)}{=} \sum_R \frac{z_{\Delta+(|R|-|\Delta|) \cdot 1}}{(|R|-|\Delta|)!} \frac{d_R}{D_R} \varphi_R(\Delta + (|R|-|\Delta|) \cdot 1) \chi_R[X] \chi_R[Y] \end{aligned} \quad (85)$$

Note that sum over n is actually traded for the sum over *all* R , then only the contribution with $n = |R| - |\Delta|$ is picked up from the sum over n . If Δ does not contain any unit entries, then $z_{\Delta+(|R|-|\Delta|) \cdot 1} = (|R|-|\Delta|)! z_\Delta$ and this sophisticated formula simplifies a little:

$$\int \widehat{\Psi}^\Delta e^{\text{tr} \Psi} [dU] = \sum_R \frac{d_R}{D_R} \varphi_R(\Delta + (|R|-|\Delta|) \cdot 1) \chi_R[X] \chi_R[Y] \quad \text{if } 1 \notin \Delta \quad (86)$$

As a byproduct of this calculation we obtain a possible proof of (57) [40]. For this purpose we act with the operator $\hat{W}(\Delta) = \tilde{D}^\Delta$ on J_{IZ} . Since operator $\hat{D}_{ij} = \sum_k X_{ik} \frac{\partial}{\partial X^{jk}}$ converts $\Psi_{kl} = (XUYU^\dagger)_{kl}$ into itself, $\hat{D}_{ij} \Psi_{kl} = \delta_{jk} \Psi_{il}$, we have:

$$\hat{W}(\Delta) J_{IZ}(X, Y) = \hat{W}(\Delta) \int e^{\text{tr} \Psi} [dU] = \int \widehat{\Psi}^\Delta e^{\text{tr} \Psi} [dU] \quad (87)$$

and now it remains to substitute (72) for J_{IZ} at the l.h.s. and (85) for the average at the r.h.s. Picking up the coefficient in front of $\frac{d_R \chi_R[Y]}{D_R}$ in sums over R at both sides we obtain:

$$\hat{W}(\Delta) \chi_R(X) = \frac{z_{\Delta+(|R|-|\Delta|) \cdot 1}}{(|R|-|\Delta|)! z_\Delta} \varphi_R(\Delta + (|R|-|\Delta|) \cdot 1) \chi_R[X] \stackrel{(60)}{=} \varphi_R(\Delta) \chi_R[X] \quad (88)$$

The last equality is trivially true when Δ does not contain unit entries, $1 \notin \Delta$, since in this case $z_{\Delta+(|R|-|\Delta|)\cdot 1} = (|R|-|\Delta|)! z_{\Delta}$ and $\varphi_R(\Delta+(|R|-|\Delta|)\cdot 1) = \varphi_R(\Delta)$. If Δ contains k unit entries, then $z_{\Delta+(|R|-|\Delta|)\cdot 1} = \frac{(|R|-|\Delta|+k)!}{k!} z_{\Delta}$, while $\varphi_R(\Delta+(|R|-|\Delta|)\cdot 1) \stackrel{(60)}{=} \frac{k!(|R|-|\Delta|)!}{(|R|-|\Delta|+k)!} \varphi_R(\Delta)$.

Coming back to (85), it provides a character expansion for generic correlator of Ψ -traces. Of course, this is only a half-stuff formula: an analogue of the transformation (76) is still needed to convert it into a more explicit expression. Unfortunately, in any case the correlators of Ψ -traces are not the most general ones needed in HCIZ theory, and evaluation of other correlators remains an unsolved, underestimated and under-investigated problem to which we devote a separate short section.

4.5 Pair correlator in HCIZ theory

Evaluation of unitary integrals with HCIZ measure became an important scientific problem since the appearance of Kazakov-Migdal model [27] of lattice gluodynamics and was originally addressed in [29] and [30, 31]. Given the Duistermaat-Heckman nature of HCIZ integral (69) [5, 14], this problem is also a natural chapter in development of "localization" techniques and BRST-like approaches [50]-[57]. Of course, the problem is also related to generic studies of non-Gaussian integrals in the theory of integral discriminants [58], which is an important part of the newly emergent field of *non-linear algebra* [59]. Unfortunately, the subject of HCIZ correlators is still underestimated and remains poorly investigated – not a big surprise, given the lack of knowledge about the ordinary unitary integrals, overviewed in s.2 above. Still, the interest is returning to this field, in particular the relatively recent papers [14] provide a new confirmation and serious extension of the old result of [30]. This section provides nothing more than a sketchy review of the present state of affairs.

Correlators can be in principle evaluated directly – by choosing one's favorite parametrization of unitary matrices and explicitly evaluating all the N^2 integrals. A clever and convenient parametrization [31] makes use of Gelfand-Zeitlin variables, which are unconstrained (free), it also arises naturally in recursive procedure in N of [14], which starts from duality relation (71). Though seemingly natural, this approach does not provide any general formulas, at least in any straightforward way. As usual, a more practical approach is the "stringy" one [53] – that of the generating functions.

The generating function of interest in HCIZ theory is

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{IZ} &= \int e^{\text{tr} XUYU^\dagger + \text{tr} J^\dagger U + \text{tr} JU^\dagger} [dU] \stackrel{(2)}{\sim} \\ &\sim \int d^2 M dS \exp\left(\text{tr} S(I - MM^\dagger)\right) \exp\left(\text{tr} XMYM^\dagger + \text{tr} J^\dagger M + JM^\dagger\right) = \\ &= \int \frac{e^{\text{tr} S} dS}{\det_{N^2 \times N^2}(S \otimes I - X \otimes Y)} \exp\left(\text{tr}_{N^2 \times N^2} J^\dagger \left(S \otimes I - X \otimes Y\right)^{-1} J\right) = \\ &= \int \frac{dS}{\prod_k \det(S - y_k X)} \exp\left\{\sum_k \left(S_{kk} + J_{ki}^\dagger (S - X y_k)_{ij}^{-1} J_{jk}\right)\right\} \end{aligned} \quad (89)$$

– as direct generalization of (70) and (71). Integral over M is Gaussian and Wick theorem is applicable, non-trivial part is evaluation of the S integral. It can be interesting to include also the Leutwyler-Smilga factor $(\det U)^\nu$ into the integrand, but – as we saw in s.4.3 – this leads to non-trivial modifications. Unfortunately not much is known about this function even at $\nu = 0$. There are no serious obstacles for going beyond the first non-trivial correlator $\langle U_{ij} U_{kl}^\dagger \rangle_{IZ}$, still it remains the only well-studied example.

This pair correlator simplifies considerably if we consider it in the basis where X and Y are both *diagonal* – then [29]

$$\int U_{ij} U_{kl}^\dagger [dU] = \mathcal{M}_{ij} \delta_{kj} \delta_{il} \quad (90)$$

According to [30], *in this basis* the generating function

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^N a_i \mathcal{M}_{ij} b_j = \sum_{i,j=1}^N a_i b_j \int |U_{ij}|^2 \exp\left(\sum_{k,l} x_k y_l |U_{kl}|^2\right) [dU] = \frac{1}{\Delta(X)\Delta(Y)} \sum_{P \in S_N} (-)^P e^{x_i y_{P(i)}}. \quad (91)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} (-)^n \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_{n+1} \leq N} \frac{\det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & 1 \\ x_{i_1} & \dots & x_{i_{n+1}} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ x_{i_1}^{n-1} & \dots & x_{i_{n+1}}^{n-1} \\ a_{i_1} & \dots & a_{i_{n+1}} \end{pmatrix} \det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & 1 \\ y_{P(i_1)} & \dots & y_{P(i_{n+1})} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ y_{P(i_1)}^{n-1} & \dots & y_{P(i_{n+1})}^{n-1} \\ b_{P(i_1)} & \dots & b_{P(i_{n+1})} \end{pmatrix}}{\det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & 1 \\ x_{i_1} & \dots & x_{i_{n+1}} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ x_{i_1}^n & \dots & x_{i_{n+1}}^n \end{pmatrix} \det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & 1 \\ y_{P(i_1)} & \dots & y_{P(i_{n+1})} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ y_{P(i_1)}^n & \dots & y_{P(i_{n+1})}^n \end{pmatrix}} = \\
& = \frac{1}{\Delta(X)\Delta(Y)} \sum_{P \in S_N} (-)^P e^{x_i y_{P(i)}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^N a_i b_{P(i)} - \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} \frac{(a_i - a_j)(b_{P(i)} - b_{P(j)})}{(x_i - x_j)(y_{P(i)} - y_{P(j)})} + \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \sum_{1 \leq i < j < k \leq N} \frac{\begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ x_i & x_j & x_k \\ a_i & a_j & a_k \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ y_{P(i)} & y_{P(j)} & y_{P(k)} \\ b_{P(i)} & b_{P(j)} & b_{P(k)} \end{vmatrix}}{(x_i - x_j)(x_j - x_k)(x_i - x_k)(y_{P(i)} - y_{P(j)})(y_{P(j)} - y_{P(k)})(y_{P(i)} - y_{P(k)})} + \dots \right)
\end{aligned}$$

This sophisticated formula was transformed in [14] into a more elegant form:

$$\mathcal{M}_{ij} = \frac{1}{\Delta(X)\Delta(Y)} \operatorname{res} \left\{ \det E - \det \left(E - \frac{1}{X - u \cdot I} E \frac{1}{Y - v \cdot I} \right) \right\} \Big|_{\substack{u=x_i \\ v=y_j}} \quad (92)$$

where matrix E is defined as $E_{ij} = e^{x_i y_j}$. According to this residue formula one should pick up the coefficients in front of $\left((u - x_i)(v - y_j) \right)^{-1}$ in the sum

$$-\frac{1}{\Delta(X)\Delta(Y)} \sum_{P \in S_N} (-)^P \prod_k e^{x_k y_{P(k)}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{(u - x_k)(v - y_{P(k)})} \right) \quad (93)$$

over permutations. The first term $\det E$ in (92) does not contribute to the residue. There will be two different kinds of distributions: one for permutations P with the property $j = P(i)$ and another – for all other permutations:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{M}_{ij} = & \frac{1}{\Delta(X)\Delta(Y)} \sum_{P \in S_N} \sum_P (-)^P \left\{ \delta_{P(i),j} \prod_{k \neq i}^N \left(1 - \frac{1}{(x_i - x_k)(y_j - y_{P(k)})} \right) + \right. \\
& \left. - \left(1 - \delta_{P(i),j} \right) \frac{1}{(x_i - x_{P(j)})(y_j - y_{P(i)})} \prod_{k \neq i, P^{-1}(j)}^N \left(1 - \frac{1}{(x_i - x_k)(y_j - y_{P(k)})} \right) \right\} \prod_{k=1}^N e^{x_k y_{P(k)}} \quad (94)
\end{aligned}$$

In particular, for $N = 1$ we have a single term of the first kind and $\mathcal{M}_{11} = e^{x_1 y_1}$.

For $N = 2$ the terms of both types contribute:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{M}_{11} &= \frac{1}{x_{12} y_{12}} \left\{ \left(1 - \frac{1}{x_{12} y_{12}} \right) e^{x_1 y_1 + x_2 y_2} + \frac{1}{x_{12} y_{12}} e^{x_1 y_2 + x_2 y_1} \right\} = \mathcal{M}_{22}, \\
\mathcal{M}_{12} &= \frac{1}{x_{12} y_{12}} \left\{ \frac{1}{x_{12} y_{12}} e^{x_1 y_1 + x_2 y_2} - \left(1 + \frac{1}{x_{12} y_{12}} \right) e^{x_1 y_2 + x_2 y_1} \right\} = \mathcal{M}_{21} \quad (95)
\end{aligned}$$

what is exactly the same as (91), where just the first two terms survive at the r.h.s. for $N = 2$. Of course, $\mathcal{M}_{11} + \mathcal{M}_{12} = J_{IZ}$.

In general, equivalence of (91) and (92) follows from the fact that for $a_i = 1/(u - x_i)$ the determinants in the numerator in (91) turns into

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & 1 \\ x_{i_1} & \dots & x_{i_{n+1}} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ x_{i_1}^{n-1} & \dots & x_{i_{n+1}}^{n-1} \\ (u - x_{i_1})^{-1} & \dots & (u - x_{i_{n+1}})^{-1} \end{pmatrix} = \det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & 1 \\ x_{i_1} & \dots & x_{i_{n+1}} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ x_{i_1}^n & \dots & x_{i_{n+1}}^n \end{pmatrix} \prod_{k=1}^{n+1} \frac{1}{u - x_{i_k}} \quad (96)$$

– simply because the numerator should have dimension $n(n-1)/2$ and be antisymmetric in all x_{i_k} . Therefore (91) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i,j} \frac{1}{u - x_i} \mathcal{M}_{ij} \frac{1}{v - y_j} &= \frac{1}{\Delta(X)\Delta(Y)} \sum_{P \in S_N} (-)^P e^{x_i y_{P(i)}} \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} (-)^n \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_{n+1} \leq N} \prod_{k=1}^{n+1} \frac{1}{(u - x_{i_k})(v - y_{P(i_k)})} \right\} = \\ &= \frac{1}{\Delta(X)\Delta(Y)} \sum_{P \in S_N} (-)^P e^{x_i y_{P(i)}} \left\{ 1 - \prod_{i=1}^N \left(1 - \frac{1}{(u - x_i)(v - y_{P(i)})} \right) \right\} = \frac{\det E - \det \left(E - \frac{1}{u-X} E \frac{1}{v-Y} \right)}{\Delta(X)\Delta(Y)} \quad (97) \end{aligned}$$

Note that taking a limit to (4) in this formula is a somewhat tricky exercise – this is not a big surprise, since even $J_{IZ}(X, Y=0) = 1$ is not a fully obvious corollary of eq.(64). Much simpler is to check that (97) is consistent with $\sum_j |U_{ij}|^2 = 1$, i.e. that $\sum_j \mathcal{M}_{ij} = J_{IZ}$ for any i . Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i,j} \frac{1}{u - x_i} \mathcal{M}_{ij} &= \sum_j \oint_{y_j} dv \frac{\det E - \det \left(E - \frac{1}{u-X} E \frac{1}{v-Y} \right)}{\Delta(X)\Delta(Y)} = \\ &= \frac{\det E}{\Delta(X)\Delta(Y)} \oint_{\infty} dv \left(1 - \det \left(1 - \frac{1}{u-X} E \frac{1}{v-Y} E^{-1} \right) \right) = J_{IZ} \oint_{\infty} \text{tr} \frac{1}{u-X} E \frac{dv}{v-Y} E^{-1} = J_{IZ} \text{tr} \frac{1}{u-X} \end{aligned} \quad (98)$$

A character decomposition of pair correlator can be easily obtained similarly to eq.(72), provided one knows appropriate generalization of (21) and (22), namely

$$\int \mathcal{U}_R \mathcal{U}_R^\dagger \mathcal{U}_{R'} \mathcal{U}_{R'}^\dagger [dU] \quad (99)$$

– a generalization of (5) to arbitrary representations. Actually, the case when R' is the fundamental representation would be enough for decomposition of (91).

Like HCIZ integral itself, the pair correlator satisfies a kind of duality relation – a direct generalization of (71): from

$$\int U_{ij} U_{kl}^\dagger [dU] \stackrel{(89)}{\sim} \delta_{jk} \int \left(\frac{1}{S - X y_k} \right)_{il}^{-1} \frac{e^{\text{tr} S dS}}{\prod_k \det(S - X y_k)} \quad (100)$$

it follows, after the change of integration matrix-variable $S \rightarrow XS$ and after diagonalization of X and S that

$$\mathcal{M}_{ij}(X, Y) \sim \int \frac{\mathcal{M}_{ij}(X, S) \Delta^2(S) \prod_p ds_p}{(s_i - y_j) x_j \prod_{p,q} (s_p - y_q)} \quad (101)$$

Similar relations can be straightforwardly deduced from (89) for higher correlators in HCIZ theory.

For generalizations to other simple Lie groups see, for example, [7] and [14].

4.6 $U(2)$ example

To illustrate the use of duality relations we demonstrate explicitly how (101) is satisfied by (91) and (92) in the simplest non-trivial case of $N = 2$.

We begin with an even simpler story: duality relation (71) at $N = 1$. The statement is simply that

$$e^{xy} = \oint \frac{e^{xs} ds}{s - y} \quad (102)$$

and it is clear that integral should be understood as taken around the pole at $s = y$.

For $N = 2$ the same (71) becomes slightly more involved:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{e^{x_1 y_1 + x_2 y_2} - e^{x_1 y_2 + x_2 y_1}}{x_{12} y_{12}} \stackrel{(89)}{\sim} \oint \oint \frac{e^{x_1 s_1 + x_2 s_2} - e^{x_1 s_2 + x_2 s_1}}{x_{12} s_{12}} \frac{s_{12}^2 ds_1 ds_2}{(s_1 - y_1)(s_1 - y_2)(s_2 - y_1)(s_2 - y_2)} = \\
& = \frac{1}{x_{12} y_{12}^2} \oint \oint (e^{x_1 s_1 + x_2 s_2} - e^{x_1 s_2 + x_2 s_1}) \left(\frac{1}{s_1 - y_1} - \frac{1}{s_1 - y_2} \right) \left(\frac{1}{s_2 - y_1} - \frac{1}{s_2 - y_2} \right) s_{12} ds_1 ds_2 = \\
& = \frac{1}{x_{12} y_{12}^2} \left(-y_{12} (e^{x_1 y_1 + x_2 y_2} - e^{x_1 y_2 + x_2 y_1}) - y_{21} (e^{x_1 y_2 + x_2 y_1} - e^{x_1 y_1 + x_2 y_2}) \right) = -2 \frac{e^{x_1 y_1 + x_2 y_2} - e^{x_1 y_2 + x_2 y_1}}{x_{12} y_{12}} \quad (103)
\end{aligned}$$

Note that from the four possible poles, $(s_1, s_2) = (y_1, y_1), (y_1, y_2), (y_2, y_1), (y_2, y_2)$ the first and the last one do not contribute because of the $s_{12} = s_1 - s_2$ factor in the numerator of the integrand.

Coming back to (101), in the case of $N = 1$ it states simply that

$$e^{xy} = \oint \frac{e^{xs} ds}{x(s-y)^2} = \frac{1}{x} \left. \frac{\partial e^{xs}}{\partial s} \right|_{s=y} = e^{xy} \quad (104)$$

For $N = 2$, the calculation is much longer. We present it only for one particular (out of four) non-vanishing correlator (95),

$$\mathcal{M}_{11}(X, Y) = -\frac{1}{x_{12}^2 y_{12}^2} \left((1 - x_{12} y_{12}) e^{x_1 y_1 + x_2 y_2} - e^{x_1 y_2 + x_2 y_1} \right) \quad (105)$$

With the help of the same tricks as in (103) we can write the r.h.s. of (101) as

$$\begin{aligned}
& \oint \oint \frac{s_{12}^2 ds_1 ds_2}{(s_1 - y_1) x_{12} y_{12}^2} \left(\frac{1}{s_1 - y_1} - \frac{1}{s_1 - y_2} \right) \left(\frac{1}{s_2 - y_1} - \frac{1}{s_2 - y_2} \right) \left\{ -\left(\frac{1}{x_{12}^2} - \frac{s_{12}}{x_{12}} \right) e^{x_1 s_1 + x_2 s_2} + \frac{1}{x_{12}^2} e^{x_1 s_2 + x_2 s_1} \right\} = \\
& = \frac{1}{x_1 x_{12}^2 y_{12}^2} \oint \oint ds_1 ds_2 \left\{ -\frac{1}{(s_1 - y_1)^2} + \frac{1}{y_{12}} \left(\frac{1}{s_1 - y_1} - \frac{1}{s_1 - y_2} \right) \right\} \left(\frac{1}{s_2 - y_1} - \frac{1}{s_2 - y_2} \right) \cdot \\
& \quad \cdot \left((1 - s_{12} x_{12}) e^{x_1 s_1 + x_2 s_2} - e^{x_1 s_2 + x_2 s_1} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

This time all the four poles can contribute and cancellations for two unwanted ones are a little less trivial: for $(s_1, s_2) = (y_1, y_1)$ we have

$$\left(\frac{-(x_1 - x_{12}) + x_2}{y_{12}} + \frac{1}{y_{12}} (1 - 1) \right) e^{(x_1 + x_2) y_1} = 0,$$

while for $(s_1, s_2) = (y_2, y_2)$

$$\frac{1}{y_{12}} (1 - 1) e^{(x_1 + x_2) y_2} = 0$$

To simplify analysis of these formulas we underline the terms, which come from the double pole at $s_1 = y_1$ and produce derivatives of the integrand over s_1 . Non-vanishing are contributions from the two other poles, from $(s_1, s_2) = (y_2, y_1)$:

$$-\frac{1}{y_{12}} \left((1 + x_{12} y_{12}) e^{x_1 y_2 + x_2 y_1} - e^{x_1 y_1 + x_2 y_2} \right)$$

and, finally, from $(s_1, s_2) = (y_1, y_2)$:

$$\frac{(x_1 (1 - x_{12} y_{12}) - x_{12}) e^{x_1 y_1 + x_2 y_2} - x_2 e^{x_1 y_2 + x_2 y_1} - \frac{1}{y_{12}} \left((1 - x_{12} y_{12}) e^{x_1 y_1 + x_2 y_2} - e^{x_1 y_2 + x_2 y_1} \right)}{x_{12} y_{12}^2}$$

Putting all together we obtain for the r.h.s. of (101):

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{x_1 x_{12}^2 y_{12}^2} \left\{ \left(\frac{1}{y_{12}} + \frac{x_1 - x_{12} - x_1 x_{12} y_{12}}{y_{12}} - \frac{1}{y_{12}} + x_{12} \right) e^{x_1 y_1 + x_2 y_2} + \left(-\frac{1}{y_{12}} - \frac{x_{12} - x_2}{y_{12}} + \frac{1}{y_{12}} \right) e^{x_1 y_2 + x_2 y_1} \right\} = \\
& = \frac{1}{x_{12}^2 y_{12}^2} \left\{ (1 - x_{12} y_{12}) e^{x_1 y_1 + x_2 y_2} - e^{x_1 y_2 + x_2 y_1} \right\} = -\mathcal{M}_{11}(X, Y) \quad (106)
\end{aligned}$$

In order to demonstrate what the higher correlators are going to look like, we list here non-vanishing 4-point correlators for $N = 2$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\int U_{11}^2 (U_{11}^\dagger)^2 [dU] &= \frac{1}{x_{12}^3 y_{12}^3} \left\{ (2 - 2x_{12}y_{12} + x_{12}^2 y_{12}^2) e^{x_1 y_1 + x_2 y_2} - 2 e^{x_1 y_2 + x_2 y_1} \right\}, \\
\int U_{11} U_{12} U_{11}^\dagger U_{21}^\dagger [dU] &= \frac{1}{x_{12}^3 y_{12}^3} \left\{ (-2 + x_{12}y_{12}) e^{x_1 y_1 + x_2 y_2} + (2 + x_{12}y_{12}) e^{x_1 y_2 + x_2 y_1} \right\}, \\
\int U_{11} U_{22} U_{11}^\dagger U_{22}^\dagger [dU] &= \frac{1}{x_{12}^3 y_{12}^3} \left\{ (2 - 2x_{12}y_{12} + x_{12}^2 y_{12}^2) e^{x_1 y_1 + x_2 y_2} - 2 e^{x_1 y_2 + x_2 y_1} \right\}, \\
\int U_{11} U_{22} U_{12}^\dagger U_{21}^\dagger [dU] &= \frac{1}{x_{12}^3 y_{12}^3} \left\{ (2 - x_{12}y_{12}) e^{x_1 y_1 + x_2 y_2} - (2 + x_{12}y_{12}) e^{x_1 y_2 + x_2 y_1} \right\}, \\
\int U_{12}^2 (U_{21}^\dagger)^2 [dU] &= \frac{1}{x_{12}^3 y_{12}^3} \left\{ 2 e^{x_1 y_1 + x_2 y_2} - (2 + 2x_{12}y_{12} + x_{12}^2 y_{12}^2) e^{x_1 y_2 + x_2 y_1} \right\}, \\
\int U_{12} U_{21} U_{12}^\dagger U_{21}^\dagger [dU] &= \frac{1}{x_{12}^3 y_{12}^3} \left\{ 2 e^{x_1 y_1 + x_2 y_2} - (2 + 2x_{12}y_{12} + x_{12}^2 y_{12}^2) e^{x_1 y_2 + x_2 y_1} \right\}
\end{aligned} \tag{107}$$

Other non-vanishing correlators are obtained by discrete symmetries $1 \leftrightarrow 2$, for example, $\int U_{22}^2 (U_{22}^\dagger)^2 [dU] = \int U_{11}^2 (U_{11}^\dagger)^2 [dU]$. It is easy to check that the sum of the first and the second lines, which should be $\int U_{11} U_{11}^\dagger [dU]$, does indeed reproduce $\mathcal{M}_{11}$ from (103). Similarly, the sum of the second and fifth line reproduce $\mathcal{M}_{12}$, while that of the the second and fourth lines should – and does – vanish. Furthermore, in the limit $x, y \rightarrow 0$ expressions (107) turn into (5), though, as we already mentioned, this limit is not very easy to take: even in this simple situation ($N = 2$) exponents should be expanded up to the third order in their arguments.

Actually, this last criterium considerably restricts the possible form of the $2m$ -point correlator:

$$\int U^{\otimes m} (U^\dagger)^{\otimes m} [dU] = \frac{1}{(x_{12}y_{12})^{m+1}} \left(P(x_{12}y_{12}) e^{x_1 y_1 + x_2 y_2} - Q(x_{12}y_{12}) e^{x_1 y_2 + x_2 y_1} \right) \tag{108}$$

where $P(t) = \sum_{k=0}^m P_k t^k$ and $Q(t) = \sum_{k=0}^m Q_k t^k$ are polynomials of $t = x_{12}y_{12} = (x_1 - x_2)(y_1 - y_2)$ of degree m . Then the condition that this expression is non-singular at $t = 0$ implies that

$$P_k = \sum_{j=0}^k \frac{(-)^j}{j!} Q_{k-j} \tag{109}$$

i.e. $P(t)$ is fully defined for a given $Q(t)$. The choice of $Q(t)$ depends on the correlator. In particular,

$$\int U_{11}^m (U_{11}^\dagger)^m [dU] = \frac{(-)^m}{(x_{12}y_{12})^{m+1}} \left(\left(\sum_{k=0}^m \frac{(-)^j m!}{j!} (x_{12}y_{12})^k \right) e^{x_1 y_1 + x_2 y_2} - m! e^{x_1 y_2 + x_2 y_1} \right) \tag{110}$$

It is clear from this example that generalization of (91) and (92) to 4-point and higher correlators should be straightforward, still it remains to be found.

Acknowledgements

I am indebted to A.Alexandrov, A.Mironov, A.Popolitov and Sh.Shakirov for collaboration and help.

My work is partly supported by Russian Federal Nuclear Energy Agency, by the joint grants 09-02-91005-ANF, 09-02-90493-Ukr, 09-02-93105-CNRS and 09-01-92440-CE, by the Russian President's Grant of Support for the Scientific Schools NSH-3035.2008.2 and by RFBR grant 07-02-00645.

References

- [1] E.Wigner, Ann.Math. **62** (1955) 548;
 F.Dyson, J.Math.Phys. **3** (1962) 140, 157,166, 1191, 1199;
 E.Brezin, C.Itzykson, G.Parisi and J.-B.Zuber, *Planar diagrams*, Comm.Math.Phys. **59** (1978) 35;
 D.Bessis, Comm.Math.Phys. **69** (1979) 147;
 D.Bessis, C.Itzykson and J.-B.Zuber, Adv. Appl. Math. **1** (1980) 109;
 M.-L. Mehta, Comm.Math.Phys. **79** (1981) 327; *Random Matrices*, 2nd edition, Acad. Press., N.Y., 1991;
 D.Bessis, C.Itzykson and J.-B.Zuber, Adv.Appl.Math. **1** (1980) 109;
 A.Migdal, *Loop equations and $1/N$ expansion*, Phys.Rep. **102** (1983) 199;
 F.David, Nucl. Phys. **B257** [FS14] (1985) 45, 543;
 J. Ambjorn, B. Durhuus and J. Frohlich, Nucl. Phys. **B257** [FS14] (1985) 433;
 V. A. Kazakov, I. K. Kostov and A. A. Migdal, Phys. Lett. **157B** (1985) 295;
 D.Boulatov, V. A. Kazakov, I. K. Kostov and A. A. Migdal, Phys. Lett. **B174** (1986) 87; Nucl. Phys. **B275** [FS17] (1986) 641;
 V.Kazakov, Phys. Lett. **A 119** (1986) 140, Mod.Phys.Lett. **A4** (1989) 2125;
 E.Brezin and V.Kazakov, Phys. Lett. **B236** (1990) 144;
 D.Gross and A.Migdal, Phys. Rev. Lett. **64** (1990) 127; Nucl.Phys. **B340** (1990) 333;
 M.Douglas and S.Shenker, Nucl.Phys. **B335** (1990) 635;
 L.Alvarez-Gaume, *Random surfaces, statistical mechanics, and string theory*, Lausanne lectures, 1990;
 M.Douglas, Phys.Lett. **B238** (1990) 176;
 A.Levin and A.Morozov, Phys.Lett. **243B** (1990) 207-214;
 P.Ginsparg, *Matrix Models of 2d Gravity*, hep-th/9112013;
 D.Gross and M.Newmann, Phys.Lett. **B266** (1991), 291;
 A.Marshakov, A.Mironov and A.Morozov, Phys.Lett. **B 265** (1991) 99-107;
 R.Dijkgraaf, G.Moore and R.Plesser, Nucl.Phys. **B394** (1993) 356-382, hep-th/9208031;
 J.-M. Daul, V.A. Kazakov and I.K. Kostov, Nucl. Phys. **B409** (1993) 311;
 M.Staudacher, Phys.Lett. **B305** (1993) 332, hep-th/9301038;
 J.Ambjorn, L.Chekhov, C.F.Kristjansen and Yu.Makeenko, Nucl.Phys. **B404**(1993) 127-172, hep-th/9302014;
 P.Di Francesco, P. Ginsparg and J. Zinn-Justin, Phys. Rep. **254** (1995) 1-133, hep-th/9306153;
 A.Mironov, Int.J.Mod.Phys. **A9** (1994) 4355, hep-th/9312212; Phys.Part.Nucl. **33** (2002) 537;
 B.Eynard, *Large Random Matrices: Eigenvalue Distribution*, hep-th/9401165;
 M.Adler, A.Morozov, T.Shiota, P.van Moerbeke, Nucl.Phys.Proc.Suppl. **49** (1996) 201-212, hep-th/9603066;
 G.Akemann, Nucl.Phys. **B482** (1996) 403-430, hep-th/9606004;
 G.Akemann, P.H.Damgaard, U.Magnea and S.Nishigaki, Nucl.Phys. **B487** (1997) 721-738, hep-th/9609174;
 Nucl.Phys. **B519** (1998) 682-714, hep-th/9712006;
 J.Ambjorn, M.Harris and M.Weis, Nucl.Phys. **B504** (1997) 482, hep-th/9702188;
 A.Marshakov, M.Martellini and A.Morozov, Phys.Lett. **B418** (1998) 294-302, hep-th/9706050;
 T.Guhr, A.Mueller-Groeling and H.A.Weidenmueller, Phys. Rep. **299** (1998) 189-425, cond-mat/9707301;
 V.A.Kazakov, I.K.Kostov and N.A.Nekrasov, Nucl. Phys. **B 557** (1999) 413, hep-th/9810035;
 M.Adler and P.van Moerbeke, *The spectrum of coupled random matrices*, Annals of Mathematics, 1999;
 B.Eynard, *Random Matrices*, 2000, http://www-spht.cea.fr/articles_k2/t01/014/publi.pdf;
 A.Gerasimov, A.Morozov and K.Selivanov, Int.J.Mod.Phys. **A16** (2001) 1531-1558, hep-th/0005053;
 A.Okounkov, *Generating functions for intersecting numbers on moduli spaces of curves*, math.AG/0101201;
 P.Ormerod and C.Mounfield, *Random Matrix Theory and the Failure of Macroeconomic Forecasts*, Physica **A 280** (2000), 497-504, cond-mat/0102357;
 P.Di Francesco, Nucl.Phys. **B648** (2003) 461-496, cond-mat/0208037;
 P.Forrester, N.Snaith and J.Verbaarschot, J. Phys. **A36** 2859-3645, cond-mat/0303207;
 S.Alexandrov, V.Kazakov and D.Kutasov, JHEP **0309** (2003) 057, hep-th/0306177;
 N.Seiberg and D.Shih, JHEP **0402** (2004) 021, hep-th/0312170;
 V.Kazakov and I.Kostov, *Instantons in noncritical strings from the two matrix model*, hep-th/0403152;
 G.Akemann, Y.V.Fyodorov and G. Vernizzi, Nucl.Phys. **B694** (2004) 59-98, hep-th/0404063;
 P.Di Francesco, *2D Quantum Gravity, Matrix Models and Graph Combinatorics*, math-ph/0406013;
 A.Morozov, *Challenges of matrix models*, hep-th/0502010;
 M.Stephanov, J.J.M.Verbaarschot and T.Wettig, *Random Matrices*, hep-ph/0509286;
 Y.V.Fyodorov and B.A.Khoruzhenko, math-ph/0602032;
 Y.V.Fyodorov, math-ph/0602039;
 J.Harnad, A.Orlov, Physica **D235** (2007) 168-206, arXiv:0704.1157

- [2] A.Migdal, JETP, **42** (1975) 413;
C.Itzykson and J.-B.Zuber, Comm.Math.Phys. **134** (1990) 197-208;
P.Di Francesco and C.Itzykson, Ann.Inst.Henri Poincare, **59** (1993) 117;
V.Kazakov, M.Staudacher and T.Wynter, Comm.Math.Phys. **179** (1996) 235;
I.Kostov, M.Staudacher and T.Wynter, Commun.Math.Phys. 191 (1998) 283-298, hep-th/9703189
- [3] V.Kazakov, *Solvable Matrix Models*, hep-th/0003064
- [4] A.Morozov, *Integrability and Matrix Models*, Phys.Usp. **37** (1994) 1-55, hep-th/9303139
- [5] A.Morozov, *Matrix Models as Integrable Systems*, hep-th/9502091
- [6] F. Cachazo, K. Intriligator and C. Vafa Nucl.Phys. B603 (2001) 3-41, hep-th/0103067;
F.Cachazo and C.Vafa, *$N=1$ and $N=2$ Geometry from Fluxes*, hep-th/0206017;
R.Dijkgraaf and C.Vafa, Nucl.Phys. **B644** (2002) 3-20, hep-th/0206255; Nucl.Phys. **B644** (2002) 21-39, hep-th/0207106; hep-th/0208048;
L.Chekhov and A.Mironov, Phys.Lett. **B552** (2003) 293-302, hep-th/0209085;
R.Dijkgraaf, S.Gukov, V.Kazakov and C.Vafa, Phys.Rev. **D68** (2003) 045007, hep-th/0210238;
V.Kazakov and A.Marshakov, J.Phys. **A36** (2003) 3107-3136, hep-th/0211236;
H.Itoyama and A.Morozov, Nucl.Phys.**B657** (2003) 53-78, hep-th/0211245; Phys.Lett. **B555** (2003) 287-295, hep-th/0211259; Prog.Theor.Phys. **109** (2003) 433-463, hep-th/0212032; Int.J.Mod.Phys. **A18** (2003) 5889-5906, hep-th/0301136;
S.Naculich, H.Schnitzer and N. Wyllard, JHEP **0301** (2003) 015, hep-th/0211254;
B.Feng, Nucl.Phys. **B661** (2003) 113-138, hep-th/0212010;
I.Bena, S.de Haro and R.Roiban, Nucl.Phys. **B664** (2003) 45-58, hep-th/0212083;
Ch.Ann, Phys.Lett. **B560** (2003) 116-127, hep-th/0301011;
L.Chekhov, A.Marshakov, A.Mironov and D.Vasiliev, *DV and WDVV*, hep-th/0301071; hep-th/0506075;
A. Dymarsky and V. Pestun, Phys.Rev. **D67** (2003) 125001, hep-th/0301135;
Yu.Ookouchi and Yo.Watabiki, Mod.Phys.Lett. **A18** (2003) 1113-1126, hep-th/0301226;
H.Itoyama and H.Kanno, Phys.Lett. **B573** (2003) 227-234, hep-th/0304184; Nucl.Phys. **B686** (2004) 155-164, hep-th/0312306;
M.Matone and L.Mazzucato, JHEP **0307** (2003) 015, hep-th/0305225;
R.Argurio, G.Ferretti and R.Heise, Int.J.Mod.Phys. **A19** (2004) 2015-2078, hep-th/0311066;
M.Gomez-Reino, JHEP **0406** (2004) 051, hep-th/0405242;
K.Fujiwara, H.Itoyama and M.Sakaguchi, Prog.Theor.Phys. **113** (2005) 429-455, hep-th/0409060; Nucl.Phys. **B723** (2005) 33-52, hep-th/0503113; Prog.Theor.Phys.Suppl. **164** (2007) 125-137, hep-th/0602267;
Sh.Aoyama, JHEP **0510** (2005) 032, hep-th/0504162;
D.Berenstein and S.Pinansky, hep-th/0602294
- [7] E.Brezin and S.Hikami, *An extension of the Harish-Chandra-Itzykson-Zuber integral*, math-ph/0208002
- [8] A.Zabrodin, Mod.Phys.Lett. **A7** (1992) 441; cond-mat/0210331;
P. Wiegmann and A. Zabrodin, hep-th/0309253 ;
R. Teodorescu, E. Bettelheim, O. Agam, A. Zabrodin and P. Wiegmann, Nucl.Phys. **B704** (2005) 407-444, hep-th/0401165
- [9] A.Alexandrov, A.Mironov and A.Morozov, Int.J.Mod.Phys. **A19** (2004) 4127, Theor.Math.Phys. **142** (2005) 349, hep-th/0310113
- [10] T.J.Hollowood, A.Iqbal and C.Vafa, *Matrix Models, Geometric Engineering and Elliptic Genera*, JHEP **0803** (2008) 069, hep-th/0310272
- [11] A.Alexandrov, A.Mironov and A.Morozov, Int.J.Mod.Phys. **A21** (2006) 2481, hep-th/0412099; Fortsch. Phys. **53** (2005) 512, hep-th/0412205;
A.Alexandrov, A.Mironov, A.Morozov and P.Putrov, Int.J.Mod.Phys. **A** (2009), arXiv:0811.2825
- [12] B.Eynard, JHEP **0411** (2004) 031, hep-th/0407261;
B.Eynard and N.Orantin, JHEP **0612** (2006) 026, math-ph/0504058; math-ph/0702045;
L.Chekhov and B.Eynard, JHEP **0603** (2006) 014, hep-th/0504116; JHEP **0612** (2006) 026, math-ph/0604014;
B.Eynard, M.Marino and N.Orantin, JHEP **0706** (2007) 058, hep-th/0702110;
N.Orantin, PhD thesis, arXiv:0709.2992; arXiv:0803.0705

- [13] B.Eynard, JHEP **0301** (2003) 051, hep-th/0210047; JHEP **0311** (2003) 018, hep-th/0309036
- [14] M.Bertola and B.Eynard, *Mixed Correlation Functions of the Two-Matrix Model*, J.Phys, **A36** (2003) 7733-7750, hep-th/0303161;
 B.Eynard, *A short note about Morozov's formula*, math-ph/0406063;
 B.Eynard and A.Prats Ferrer, Comm.Math.Phys. **264** (2005) 115-144, hep-th/0502041;
 A.Prats Ferrer, B.Eynard, P.Di Francesco and J.-B.Zuber, J.Stat.Phys. **129** (2009) 885-935, math-ph/0610049;
 M.Bertola and A.Prats Ferrer, *Harish-Chandra integrals as nilpotent integrals*, arXiv: 0801.3452;
 M.Bergere and B.Eynard, *Some properties of angular integrals*, arXiv: 0805.4482
- [15] N.Nekrasov and A.Okounkov, arXiv:hep-th/0306238;
 A.Marshakov and N.Nekrasov, JHEP **0701** (2007) 104, hep-th/0612019;
 B.Eynard, *All orders asymptotic expansion of large partitions*, arXiv:0804.0381;
 A.Klemm and P.Sulkowski, *Seiberg-Witten theory and matrix models*, arXiv:0810.4944;
 P.Sulkowski, *Matrix models for 2^* theories*, arXiv:0904.3064
- [16] A.Alexandrov, A.Mironov and A.Morozov, *M-theory of matrix models*, Theor.Math.Phys. **150** (2007) 179-192, hep-th/0605171; *Instantons and merons in matrix models*, Physica **D 235** (2007) 126-167, hep-th/0608228;
 N.Orantin, *Symplectic invariants, Virasoro constraints and Givental decomposition*, arXiv:0808.0635
- [17] J.Harer, D.Zagier, Inv. Math. **85** (1986) 457-485;
 S.K.Lando, A.K.Zvonkine, *Graphs on Surfaces and Their Applications*, Springer (2003);
 E.Akhmedov and Sh.Shakirov, to appear in Funkts. Anal. Prilozh., arXiv:0712.2448;
 A.Morozov and Sh.Shakirov, *Exact 2-point function in Hermitian matrix model*, arXiv:0906.0036; *Harer-Zagier correlation functions in Gaussian matrix models*, to appear
- [18] A.Morozov and Sh.Shakirov, *Combinatorial Solution of Hermitian Model at Low Genera*, to appear
- [19] A. Gerasimov, A. Marshakov, A. Mironov, A. Morozov, and A. Orlov, Nucl. Phys. **B357** (1991) 565-618;
 Yu.Makeenko, A.Marshakov, A.Mironov and A.Morozov, Nucl.Phys. **B356** (1991) 574;
 S.Kharchev, A.Marshakov, A.Mironov, A.Morozov and S.Pakuliak, Nucl.Phys. **B404** (1993) 717-750, hep-th/9208044
- [20] M. Kontsevich, Funk. Anal. Prilozh., **25:2** (1991) 50-57; Comm.Math.Phys. **147** (1992) 1-23
- [21] S.Kharchev, A.Marshakov, A.Mironov, A.Morozov and A.Zabrodin, Phys. Lett. **B275** (1992) 311-314, hep-th/9111037; Nucl.Phys. **B380** (1992) 181-240, hep-th/9201013; Nucl.Phys. **B397** (1993) 339-378, hep-th/9203043
 A.Marshakov, A.Mironov and A.Morozov, Mod. Phys. Lett. A7 (1992) 1345-1360, hep-th/9201010; Phys.Lett. **274B** (1992) 280-288, hep-th/9201011
- [22] M.Adler and P. van Moerbeke, Comm.Math.Phys. **147** (1992) 25;
 P.Di Francesco, C.Itzykson and J.-B.Zuber, Comm.Math.Phys. **151** (1993) 193-219, hep-th/9206090;
 S.Kharchev, A.Marshakov, A.Mironov and A.Morozov, Mod.Phys.Lett. **A8** (1993) 1047-1062, Theor. Math. Phys. **95** (1993) 571-582, hep-th/9208046
- [23] M.Fukuma, H.Kawai and R.Nakayama, Int.J.Mod.Phys. **A6** (1991) 1385;
 R.Dijkgraaf, E.Verlinde and H.Verlinde, Nucl.Phys. **B348** (1991) 565;
 A.Mironov and A.Morozov, Phys.Lett. **B252**(1990) 47-52;
 F.David, Mod.Phys.Lett. **A5** (1990) 1019;
 J.Ambjorn and Yu.Makeenko, Mod.Phys.Lett. **A5** (1990) 1753;
 H.Itayama and Y.Matsuo, Phys.Lett. **B255** (1991) 202
- [24] L.Chekhov and Yu.Makeenko, Phys.Lett. **B278** (1992) 271-278, hep-th/9202006; Mod.Phys.Lett. **A7** (1992) 1223-1236, hep-th/9201033;
 J. Ambjorn, C. Kristjansen and Yu. Makeenko, Mod.Phys.Lett. **A7** (1992) 3187-3202, hep-th/9207020
- [25] E.Martinec, *On the Origin of Integrability in Matrix Models*, Commun.Math.Phys. **138** (1990) 437-450,1991;
 V.Periwal and D.Shevitz, Phys.Rev.Lett. **64** (1990) 1326; Nucl.Phys. **B344** (1990) 731;
 K.Demeterfi and C.Tan, Mod.Phys.Lett. **A5** (1990) 1563
- [26] M.Bowick, A.Morozov and D.Shevitz, *Reduced unitary matrix models and the hierarchy of tau functions*, Nucl.Phys. **B354** (1991) 496-530

- [27] V.Kazakov and A.Migdal, *Induced QCD at large N*, Nucl.Phys. **B397** (1993) 214-238,1993, hep-th/9206015
- [28] M.Caselle, A.D’Adda and S.Panzer, Phys.Lett. B293 (1992) 161-167, hep-th/9207086; B302 (1993) 80-86, hep-th/9212074
- [29] I.Kogan, A.Morozov, G.Semenoff and H.Weiss, Nucl.Phys. **B395** (1993) 547-580, hep-th/9208012; Int.J.Mod.Phys. **A8** (1993) 1411-1436, hep-th/9208054; M.Dobroliubov, A.Morozov, G.Semenoff and N.Weiss, Int.J.Mod.Phys. A9 (1994) 5033-5052, hep-th/9312145
- [30] A.Morozov, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A7** (1992) 3503-3508, hep-th/9209074
- [31] S.Shatashvili, Comm.Math.Phys. **154** (1993) 421-432, hep-th/9209083
- [32] S.Kharchev, A.Marshakov, A.Mironov and A.Morozov, Int.J.Mod.Phys. **A10** (1995) 2015, hep-th/9312210
- [33] A.Mironov, A.Morozov and G.Semenoff, Int.J.Mod.Phys. **A11** (1996) 5031-5080, hep-th/9404005
- [34] A.Hurwitz, Math.Ann. **39** (1891) 1-61; Math.Ann. bf 55 (1902) 51-60
- [35] R.Dijkgraaf, In: *The moduli spaces of curves*, Progress in Math., 129 (1995), 149-163, Birkhäuser; R.Vakil, *Enumerative geometry of curves via degeneration methods*, Harvard Ph.D. thesis (1997); I.Goulden and D.Jackson, Proc.Amer.Math.Soc. **125** (1997) 51-60, math/9903094; S.Lando and D.Zvonkine, Funk.Anal.Appl. **33** 3 (1999) 178-188; math.AG/0303218; S.Natanzon and V.Turaev, Topology, **38** (1999) 889-914; Goulden D., Jackson D.M., Vainshtein A., Ann. of Comb. 4(2000), 27-46, Birkhäuser; A.Okounkov, Math.Rev.Lett. **7** (2000) 447-453, math/0004128; A.Givental, math/0108100; T.Ekedahl, S.Lando, M.Shapiro, A.Vainshtein, Invent.Math.146(2001),297-327; S.Lando, Russ.Math.Surv., **57** (2002) 463-533; A.Alexeevski and S.Natanzon, Selecta Math., New ser. **12**:3 (2006) 307-377, math.GT/0202164; Amer.Math.Soc.Transl. **224** (2) (2008) 1-25; Izvestia RAN, **12**:4 (2008) 3-24; S.Natanzon, Russian Math.Survey **61**:4 (2006) 185-186; arXiv:0804.0242; J.Zhou, *Hodge integrals, Hurwitz numbers and symmetric groups*, math.AG/0308024; A.Okounkov and R.Pandharipande, Ann. of Math. **163** (2006) 517, math.AG/0204305; T.Graher and R.Vakil, Compositio Math., **135** (2003) 25-36; M.Kazarian and S.Lando, math.AG/0410388; math/0601760; M.Kazarian, arXiv:0809.3263; S.Lando, *Combinatorial Facets of Hurwitz numbers*, In: *Applications of Group Theory to Combinatorics*, Koolen, Kwak and Xu, Eds. Taylor & Francis Group, London, 2008, 109-132; V.Bouchard and M.Marino, *Hurwitz numbers, matrix models and enumerative geometry*, arXiv:0709.1458; A.Mironov and A.Morozov, JHEP **0902** (2009) 024, arXiv:0807.2843
- [36] A.Morozov and Sh.Shakirov, JHEP, **0904** (2009) 064, arXiv: 0902.2627
- [37] G.Borot, B.Eynard, M.Mulase and B.Safnuk, arXiv:0906.1206; A.Morozov and Sh.Shakirov, *On Equivalence of two Hurwitz Matrix Models*, arXiv:0906.2573
- [38] A.Mironov, A.Morozov and S.Natanzon, arXiv:0904.4227
- [39] A.Mironov, A.Morozov and S.Natanzon, *Integrability and $\mathcal{N}$ -point Hurwitz Numbers*, to appear
- [40] A.Alexandrov, A.Mironov and A.Morozov, *Cut-and-Join Operators, Matrix Models & Characters*, to appear
- [41] E.Brezin and D.Gross, Phys.Lett. **B97** (1980) 120; D.Gross and E.Witten, Phys.Rev. **D21** (1980) 446
- [42] A.Alexandrov, A.Mironov, A.Morozov, arXiv:0906.3305
- [43] Harish-Chandra, Am.J.Math. **79** (1957) 87; **80** (1958) 241
- [44] C.Itzykson and J.Zuber, J.Math.Phys. **21** (1980) 411; P.Zinn-Justin and J.-B.Zuber, J.Phys. **A 36** (2003) 3173-3193, math-ph/0209019
- [45] B.De Wit and G.t’Hooft, Phys.Lett. **B69** (1977) 61

- [46] A.Givental, *Semisimple Frobenius structures at higher genus*, math.AG/0008067
- [47] H.Leutwyler and A.Smilga, Phys.Rev. **D 46** (1992) 5607-5632;
J.Verbaarschot, hep-th/9710114;
R.Brower, P.Rossi and C.-I.Tan, Nucl.Phys. **B190** (1981) 699;
T.Akuzawa and M.Wadati, J.Phys.Soc.Jap. **67** (1998) 2151
- [48] G.Frobenius, *Über gruppencharakter*, Sitzberg. Koniglich Preuss. Akad.Wiss. Berlin(1896) 985-1021; *The Theory of Characters and Group Representations*, Scientific Editors of Ukraine, Kharkov, 1937;
D.E.Littlewood, *The theory of group characters and matrix representations of groups*, Oxford, 1958
F.Murnaghan, *The theory of Group Representations*, Dover, New York, 1963;
M.Hamermesh, *Group theory and its application to physical problems*, 1989
I.G.Macdonald, *Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials*, Oxford Science Publications, 1995;
B. Simon, *Representations of Finite and Compact Groups*, Grad.Studies in Math. **10**, AMS, Providence, 1996;
W.Fulton, *Young tableaux: with applications to representation theory and geometry*, London Math.Soc., 1997;
B. Sagan, *The Symmetric Group. Representations, Combinatorial Algorithms, and Symmetric Functions*, 2nd edition, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2001
- [49] A.Balantekin, J.Math.Phys. **25** (1984) 2028; Phys.Rev. D62 (2000) 085017, hep-th/0007161
- [50] M.Semenov Tyan-Shansky, Izv.AN SSSR, Physics, **40** (1976) 562;
J.J.Duistermaat and G.I.Heckman, Invent.Math. **69** (1982) 259-268; **72** (1983) 153-158;
A.Alekseev, L.Faddeev and S.Shatashvili, Geometry and Physics, **3** (1989);
M.Blau, E.Keski-Vakkuri and A.Niemi, Phys.Lett. **B246** (1990) 92;
E.Keski-Vakkuri, A.Niemi, G.Semenoff and O.Tirkkonen, Phys.Rev. **D 44** (1991) 3899;
A. Hietamaki, A.Morozov, A.Niemi and K.Palo, Phys.Lett. **B263** (1991) 417-424;
A.Morozov, A.Niemi and K.Palo, Phys.Lett. **B271** (1991) 365-371; Nucl.Phys. **B377** (1992) 295-338;
R.Szabo, *Equivariant Localization of Path Integrals*, hep-th/9608068;
Y.Karshon, *Lecture Notes on Group Action on Manifolds*, 1996-97;
M.Stone, Nucl.Phys. **B314** (1989) 557-586
- [51] F.Berezin and F.Karpelevich, Doklady Acad.Nauk SSSR, **118** (1958) 9;
T.Guhr and T.Wettig, J.Math.Phys. **37** (1996) 6395;
A.Jackson, M.Sener and J.Verbaarschot, Phys.Lett. **B387** (1996) 355
- [52] R.Dijkgraaf and E.Witten, Comm.Math.Phys. **129** (1990) 393-429
- [53] A.Morozov, *String Theory, What is it?*, Sov. Phys. Usp. **35** (1992) 671-714
- [54] M. Jimbo, T. Miwa, Publ.RIMS, Kyoto Univ., **19** (1983) 943-1001;
K.Ueno, K.Takasaki, Adv.Studies in Pure Math., **4** (1984) 1-95;
A.Morozov and L.Vinet, Int.J.Mod.Phys. A13 (1998) 1651-1708, hep-th/9409093;
A. Mironov, A. Morozov and L. Vinet, Teor.Mat.Fiz. **100** (1994) 119-131, hep-th/9312213;
A.Gerasimov, S.Khoroshkin, D.Lebedev, A.Mironov and A.Morozov, Int.J.Mod.Phys. **A10** (1995) 2589-2614, hep-th/9405011;
S.Kharchev, A.Mironov and A.Morozov, q-alg/9501013;
A.Mironov, hep-th/9409190; Theor.Math.Phys. **114** (1998) 127, q-alg/9711006
- [55] A.Losev, N.Nekrasov and S.Shatashvili, Nucl.Phys. B534 (1998) 549-611, hep-th/9711108; hep-th/9908204;
Class.Quant.Grav. 17 (2000) 1181-1187, hep-th/9911099;
N.Nekrasov, Adv.Theor.Math.Phys.7:831-864,2004, hep-th/0206161;
R.Flume and R.Pogossyan, Int.J.Mod.Phys. A18 (2003) 2541, hep-th/0208176;
E. Frenkel, A. Losev and N. Nekrasov, Nucl.Phys.Proc.Suppl. **171** (2007) 215-230, hep-th/0702137
- [56] N.Seiberg and E.Witten, Nucl.Phys. **B426** (1994) 19-52, hep-th/9407087;
A.Gorsky, I.Krichever, A.Marshakov, A.Mironov, A.Morozov, Phys.Lett. **B355** (1995) 466, hep-th/9505035;
R.Donagi and E.Witten, Nucl.Phys. **B460** (1996) 299, hep-th/9510101;
E.Martinec, Phys.Lett.**B367** (1996) 91, hep-th/9510204;
A.Gorsky and A.Marshakov, Phys.Lett. **B375** (1996) 127-134, hep-th/9510224;
H.Itayama and A.Morozov, Nucl.Phys. B477 (1996) 855-877, hep-th/9511126; Nucl.Phys. B491 (1997) 529-573, hep-th/9512161; hep-th/9601168;
N.Nekrasov, Nucl.Phys. B531 (1998) 323-344, hep-th/9609219;

- A.Marshakov, A.Mironov and A.Morozov, Phys.Lett. B389 (1996) 43-52, hep-th/9607109; Mod.Phys.Lett. A12 (1997) 773-788, hep-th/9701014; Int.J.Mod.Phys. A15 (2000) 1157-1206, hep-th/9701123;
H.Braden, A.Marshakov, A.Mironov and A.Morozov, Phys.Lett. B448 (1999) 195-202, hep-th/9812078;
Nucl.Phys. B558 (1999) 371-390, hep-th/9902205; hep-th/0606035;
A.Gorsky, A.Marshakov, A.Mironov and A.Morozov, Phys.Lett. B380 (1996) 75-80, hep-th/9603140; hep-th/9604078; Nucl.Phys. **B527** (1998) 690-716, hep-th/9802007
- [57] F.Calogero, J.Math.Phys. **10** (1969) 2191, 2197; **12** (1971) 419;
C.F.Dunkl, Trans.Amer.Math. **311** (1989) 167-183; Canad.J.Math. **43** (1991) 1213-1227;
L.Faddeev, Leningrad Math.J. **1** (1990) 193-225; Alg.Anal. **1** (1989) 178-206;
A.Alekseev, Nucl.Phys. **B323** (1989) 719;
A.Gorsky and N.Nekrasov, Nucl.Phys. B414 (1994) 213-238, hep-th/9304047; B436 (1995) 582-608, hep-th/9401017;
P.Etingof, I.Frenkel and A.Kirillov, *Spherical functions on affine Lie groups*, hep-th/9407047;
A.Gerasimov, S.Kharchev, A.Marshakov, A.Mironov, A.Morozov and M.Olshanetsky, Int.J.Mod.Phys. A12 (1997) 2523-2584, hep-th/9601161;
P.Etingof, *Lectures on Calogero-Moser systems*, math/0606233
- [58] V.Dolotin, *On discriminants of polylinear forms*, alg-geom/9511010;
A.Morozov and Sh.Shakirov, *Introduction to Integral Discriminants*, arXiv:0903.2595;
K.Fujii, *Beyond Gaussian: A Comment*, arXiv:0905.1363
- [59] I.Gelfand, M.Kapranov and A.Zelevinsky, *Discriminants, Resultants and Multidimensional Determinants*, Birkhauser, 1994;
V.Dolotin and A.Morozov, *Introduction to Non-Linear Algebra*, World Scientific, 2007, hep-th/0609022; *Universal Mandelbrot Set, Beginning of the Story*, World Scientific, 2006, hep-th/0501235; Int.J.Mod.Phys.**A23** (2008) 3613-3684, hep-th/0701234;
E.Akhmedov, V.Dolotin and A.Morozov, JETP Lett. **81** (2005) 639-643, hep-th/0504160;
A. Morozov and M. Serbyn, Theor.Math.Phys. **154** (2008) 270-293, hep-th/0703258;
V.Dolotin, A.Morozov and Sh.Shakirov, arXiv:0704.2609; Phys.Lett. **B651** (2007) 71-73, arXiv:0704.2884;
Andrey Morozov, JETP Lett. **86** (2007) 745-748, arXiv:0710.2315;
A.Morozov and Sh.Shakirov, arXiv:0804.4632; arXiv:0807.4539;
A.Anokhina, A.Morozov and Sh.Shakirov, *Resultant as Determinant of Koszul Complex*, arXiv:0812.5013
- [60] Y.Nambu, *Generalized Hamiltonian Dynamics*, Phys.Rev. **D7** (1973) 2405-2414;
V.Filippov, Sib.Math.Jour. **26** No.6 (1985) 126;
L.Takhtajan, Comm.Math.Phys. **160** (1994) 295, hep-th/9301111;
J.Hoppe, Helv.Phys.Acta **70** (1997) 302-317, hep-th/9602020;
H.Awata, M.Li, D.Minic and T.Yoneya, JHEP **0102** (2001) 013, hep-th/9906248;
J.Schwarz, *Superconformal Chern-Simons Theories*, JHEP **0411** (2004) 078, hep-th/0411077;
A.Basu and J.A.Harvey, Nucl.Phys. B713 (2005) 136-150, hep-th/0412310;
J.Bagger and N.Lambert, Phys.Rev. **D75** (2007) 045020, hep-th/0611108; Phys.Rev. **D77** (2008) 065008, arXiv:0711.0955; JHEP **0802** (2008) 105, arXiv:0712.3738; Phys.Rev. **D79** (2009) 025002, arXiv:0807.0163;
A.Gustavsson, arXiv: 0709.1260; arXiv: 0802.3456;
S.Mukhi and C.Papageorgakis, *M2 to D2*, arXiv: 0803.3218;
M.Bandres, A.Lipstein and J.Schwarz, *N=8 Superconformal Chern-Simons Theories*, arXiv: 0803.3242;
A.Morozov, JHEP **0805** (2008) 076, arXiv:0804.0913; JETP Lett. **87** (2008) 659-662, arXiv:0805.1703;
J.Gomis, G.Milanesi, and J.G.Russo, arXiv:0805.1012 v2;
S.Benvenuti, D.Rodriguez-Gomez, E.Tonni and H.Verlinde, arXiv:0805.1087;
P.-M.Ho, Y.Imamura and Y.Matsuo, *M2 to D2 revisited*, arXiv:0805.1202;
O.Aharony, O.Bergman, D.L.Jafferis and J.Maldacena, JHEP **0810** (2008) 091, arXiv:0806.1218;
I.A.Bandos and P.K.Townsend, Class.Quant.Grav. **25** (2008) 245003, arXiv:0806.4777; JHEP **0902** (2009) 013, arXiv:0808.1583;
J.Gomis, D.Rodriguez-Gomez, M.Van Raamsdonk, H.Verlinde, JHEP **0809** (2008) 113, arXiv: 0807.1074;
J.A.Minahan, W.Schulgin and K.Zarembo, arXiv:0901.1142;
J.Figueroa-O'Farrill, *Deformations of 3-algebras*, arXiv:0903.4871;
D.Kamani, *Evidence for the $p+1$ -algebra for super- p -brane*, arXiv:0904.2721